# Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

## Duncan C. White (d.white@imperial.ac.uk)

Dept of Computing, Imperial College London

January 2015

#### Contents

The main topic for today is **data structures on demand**, by means of **program transformations** that guarantee to preserve correctness:

- In languages such as Haskell, data structures are very easy to use (lists and tuples built-in) and define (recursive data types).
- In languages like C, building data structures seems hard (which is why you should build a toolkit), so you tend to only build data structures for the macro-scale.
- In Perl, data structures are even easier to use than in Haskell so simple that building optimal data structures and changing them when you change your mind becomes a useful programming technique in it's own right.
- I call this the Agile Data Structures approach.

We'll also talk briefly about testing, benchmarking and profiling, and then wrap up the course.

- While writing a single function, you often write code that *computes a single answer*. Sometimes you can *transform* this code by building a data structure enabling you to *lookup all answers of that kind*.
- For example, given an unsorted array of numbers:

```
@array = ( 17, 5, 3, 17, 2, 5, 7, 6, 6, 10, 3 );
```

• Consider finding unique values from such an array. We might write the following naive code (**eg1**):

 This is very C-style code! index based, unclear, 13 lines long, could harbour bugs. Worse still, it's O(N<sup>2</sup>).

 Our first transformation is to notice that we can eliminate the si != si test, and compare the count with one not zero (eg2):

Next, notice that we no longer use indices i and j separately from array[i] and array[j], so we can now loop over the values (eg3):
 # build @uniq, an array of all unique elements of @array
 my @uniq;
 foreach my % (@array)

```
{
    # how many elements y are the same as x (including x)?
    my $count = 0;
    foreach my $y (@array)
    {
        $count++ if $x == $y;
    }
    # unique if $count == 1 (x itself)
    push @uniq, $x if $count == 1;
}
```

• Our next transformation is to notice that the inner loop can be replaced with a call to grep (eg4). Recall that grep constructs a list, and assigning that list to a scalar scount delivers the number of elements in the list:

- All the above transformations have improved the clarity of the code, we're much more confident that this is correct now.
   However, still O(N<sup>2</sup>) because grep (and map) count as O(N).
- But now we make a simple observation: Over the course of the foreach loop, we calculate the frequency of *every array element*.
- So why not pre-calculate the element frequencies ahead of time? This suggests a new data structure (a bag or frequency hash): my %freq; # array element -> frequency of that element

Duncan White (CSG)

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

To populate %freq we write:

Once we have %freq our code is:

- Bringing this all together, this gives eg5, which is clearly O(N)!
- Finally, we notice that the main loop is another grep: my @uniq = grep { \$freq{\$\_} == 1 } @array; # build @uniq, all unique elements of @array
- These two lines are the heart of our final clear simple O(N) version eg6. Compare this to our original 13 line O(N<sup>2</sup>) eg1!
- Of course, we had to allocate a modest extra amount of space for the frequency hash. But it's definitely worth it!

- Suppose we want an array of the distinct non-unique values instead. Non-unique values (ignoring distinct) are easy, simply change freq == 1 to freq > 1: my %freq; map { \$freq{\$\_}++ } @array; my %nonuniq = grep { \$freq{\$\_}++ } @array;
- However, this includes each non-unique element many times.
- For example, if @array = (1,1,1,2,2) then @nonuniq = (1,1,1,2,2) whereas distinct suggests that we wanted @nonuniq = (1,2).
- To remove duplicates from <code>@nonuniq</code>, we can use a standard *turn it into a set and extract the keys* idiom:

```
my %set = map { $_ => 1 } @nonuniq;
@nonuniq = keys %set;
```

- Recall that keys %set delivers the keys in an unpredictable order. We could say sort keys %set, but our code would become O(NlogN).
- An O(N) alternative that delivers the distinct values in the order they were present in the original array is to replace the set of all items in the array with a set of all items seen so far (eg7):

```
my %freq; map { $freq{$_}++ } @array; # build element -> frequency hash
my %seen; # what elements have we already seen?
my @nonuniq = # build distinct non-unique elements
grep { $freq{$_}> 1 && ! $seen{$_}++ } @array;
```

- Finally, after building and using "freq, suppose we realised that other parts of the program need to locate all the *positions* in the original array @array at which a specific value appeared.
- We need a different temporary data structure:
   my %indexlist; # array element -> list of positions in original array
- Recall that the array contains:

@array = ( 17, 5, 3, 17, 2, 5, 7, 6, 6, 10, 3 );

Our desired %indexlist comprises:

17	=>	[0,	3],	2	=>	[4]	,
6	=>	[7,	8],	7	=>	[6]	,
5	=>	[1,	5],	3	=>	[2,	10],

• To build *Mindexlist* we might write naive code (eg8):

```
# initialize all 'inner' array refs to [], maybe several times each
foreach my $value (@array)
{
     $indexlist{$value} = [];
   }
# can now freely push positions onto @{$indexlist{$value}}
foreach my $index (0..$#array)
{
     my $value = $array[$index];
     my $value = $array[$index];
     my $aref = $indexlist{$value};
     push @$aref, $index;
}
```

• In fact, the first loop is not needed because Perl **auto-vivifies** array and hash references when needed, as this snippet shows:

my \$ref = undef; @\$ref = (1,2,3); print "@\$ref\n"';

• So that gives us:

```
# push positions onto @{$indexlist{$value}} freely
foreach my $index (0..$#array)
{
    my $value = $array[$index];
    my $aref = $indexlist{$value};
    push @$aref, $index;
}

    $value is only used once, fold it in:
    foreach my $index (0..$#array)
    {
        mv $aref = $indexlist{$array[$index]};
    }
```

```
push @$aref, $index;
```

```
push waarer, ainu
```

• Writing the foreach loop as a procedural map, we end up with the following more idiomatic version:

```
my %indexlist; map { my $aref = $indexlist{$array[$_]}; push @$aref, $_ } 0..$#array;
```

• If you're happy to push it one stage further, fold saref in too: my %indexlist; map { push @{\$indexlist{\$array[\$\_]}}, \$\_ } 0..\$#array;

Duncan White (CSG)

}

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

- Now, given that \$freq{\$v} == @{\$indexlist{\$v}}, ie. \$v's frequency is the length of \$v's position list, do we need to keep %freq?
- A minimalist would remove xfreq, to avoid redundancy. Our uniqueness detector would then be:

```
my @uniq = grep { @{$indexlist{$_}} == 1 } @array;
```

#### Personally, I'd keep both - and build them together (eg9): my(%indexlist, %freq); map { %freq{%array[\$\_]}++; push @{\$indexlist{%array[\$\_]}}, \$\_; } 0..\$#array;

• Let's pause for a moment and take stock of what we've done:

- In a series of very small example programs (each < 20 lines long)..
- We've shown how to gradually transform low level algorithmic code, into shorter, clearer, more obviously correct code...
- Using temporary data structures (*scaffolding*) and higher-order functions such as grep and map...
- To make the original problem much easier to solve...
- Sometimes even making the code faster and more efficient.
- This is a sufficiently rare combination of good characteristics that it's worth celebrating, noting that it's only possible because Perl makes building optimal data structures so simple.

 Please note that this technique isn't only appropriate on the small scale - let's scale it up. We said that we were working inside functions, let's make that explicit now:

```
# @unig = unique values( @arrav ):
        Deliver all non-repeated values from @arrav
#
        in the SAME ORDER they were present in @array
#
fun unique values( @arrav )
       my %freq; $freq{$_}++ for @array; # array element -> frequency
        my Quniq = grep { $freq{$ } == 1 } Qarray: # Quniq, unique elements
        return Qunia:
}
#
# @nonunig = distinct_nonunique_values( @array ):
        Deliver all repeated (non-unique) values from @array
        once each (i.e. distinct), in the SAME ORDER as they
#
        were first found in @array
fun distinct nonunique values( @arrav )
Ł
        my %freq; $freq{$_}++ for @array;
                                                # array element -> frequency
        mv %seen:
                                                # elements we've already seen
        my @nonuniq = grep
                                                # distinct non-unique elements
                { $freq{$_} > 1 && ! $seen{$_}++ } @array;
        return @nonunig;
}
```

### • Plus a bonus function (and a test case, giving **eg10**):

- In reality, there'd be many more such functions, some building and using <code>%indexlist</code> instead of, or as well as, <code>%freq</code>.
- Although there's nothing wrong with building *xfreq* and friends independently each time we need them, we might wonder whether we should break such code out:

```
# %freq = build_freq_hash( @array ):
# Build a frequency hash of the elements of @array, i.e. a hash
# mapping each element (key) to the frequency of that element in @array,
#
fun build_freq_hash( @array )
{
    my %freq; $freq{$_}++ for @array; # array element -> frequency
    return %freq;
}
```

- Now replace that code fragment in other functions with calls: my %freq = build\_freq\_hash(@array);
- Having <code>build\_freq\_hash()</code> available as a separate function opens up the possibility of **prolonging the lifetime** of <code>%freq</code>. Perhaps someone will call both <code>unique\_values()</code> and <code>distinct\_nonunique\_values()</code> with the same array, so why calculate <code>%freq</code> twice?
- Perhaps the caller should do the following:

```
my %freq = build_freq_hash(@array );
my @uniq = unique_values(\%freq, \@array );
my @nonuniq = distinct_nonuniq_values(\%freq, \@array );
```

• Or, if the order of elements is unimportant, just pass in %freq:

```
my %freq = build_freq_hash( @array );
my @uniq = unique_values( %freq );
my @nonuniq = distinct_nonuniq_values( %freq );
```

• In the latter case, as well as build\_freq\_hash() above, we'd have:

### • Plus the remaining functions, rewritten to take %freq:

```
# @nonuniq = distinct_nonunique_values( %freq ):
        Deliver all repeated (non-unique) values from %freq
        in an undetermined order
fun distinct_nonunique_values( %freq )
        mv %seen:
                                                  # elements we've already seen
                                                  # distinct non-unique elements
        my @nonuniq = grep
                { $frea{$ } > 1 && ! $seen{$ }++ } kevs %frea:
        return @nonunig;
}
#
# @distinct = distinct_values( %freq ):
        Deliver all distinct values from %freq
        in an undetermined order
#
fun distinct_values( %freq )
ł
        return kevs %freq:
}
```

- Adding a test case gives us eg11.
- Note the much simpler <code>distinct\_values()</code> implementation now that we don't care about the order also note how we changed the comments for each function to say "in an undetermined order".

- Perl has several unit testing modules, the simplest is called Test::Simple, but we'll take a quick look at it's big brother Test::More.
- First of all, the basic concept of testing is that you already know what the correct (expected) answer is!
- Test::More has many test functions, we only need three:
  - plan tests => N: How many tests are there in total?
  - use\_ok('module\_name'): Can the given module be successfully loaded?
  - is(\$got, \$expected, \$testdescription): Tests that the string \$got (usually generated from a function you wish to test), is the same as the expected string \$expected, printing out the given test description.
- What shall we test? How about our frequency/unique/distinct values functions, turned into a module frequtils.
- A minimum test might first check that we can load the module:

```
use Test::More;
```

### • Followed by:

```
#
# my $str = as_string( %hash ):
       Produce a predictable plain text form of a hash.
#
        we've chosen comma separated key:value pairs.
#
        sorted by key
fun as_string( %hash )
ſ
        my @k = sort keys %hash;
       return join( ",", map { "$_:$hash{$_}" } @k );
}
my @array = (1,2,1,3);
mv $input = "1,2,1,3":
my $expected = "1:2,2:1,3:1";
my %freq = build_freq_hash( @array );
my $output = as_string( %freq );
is( $output, $expected.
                                        # second test., right result?
        "build freq hash($input)=$output" );
```

• This forms eg12. Running it, we get output:

```
1..2
ok 1 - use frequtils;
ok 2 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1
```

• Let's check that the test framework is working, by adding soutput .= ",6:1" just before the is..

• As expected, now we get something scarier:

```
1..2
ok 1 - use frequtils;
not ok 2 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1,6,1
# Failed test 'build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1,6,1'
# at ./eg12 line 36.
# got: '1:2,2:1,3:1,6,1'
# expected: '1:2,2:1,3:1'
# Looks like you failed 1 test of 2.
```

• Scaling this up to more tests of build\_freq\_hash(), we need to generalise how tests are represented:

• Need to write new code to run all tests:

### • This is simply (eg13):

Running it, we get output:

```
1..7
ok 1 - use frequtils;
ok 2 - build_freq_hash(1)=1:1
ok 3 - build_freq_hash(2)=2:1
ok 4 - build_freq_hash(1,2)=1:1,2:1
ok 5 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1)=1:2,2:1
ok 6 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,2)=1:2,2:2
ok 7 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1
```

 Suppose we wish to generalise further: allow each test to specify which function to test, via a 3rd field:

• Next, we extend our test framework to extract the 3rd field:

```
#foreach (type,arrayref,expectedstring) triple in @tests
while( (my $type, my $inputarray, my $expected, @tests ) = @tests )
{
    my $input = join( ',', @$inputarray );
    # to be continued
}
```

 Now, we must choose what action to take based on stype. Let's use coderefs and data-driven programming:

• To use this data structure, we carry on in the foreach my \$teststr (@tests) body (from # to be continued):

```
...
# to be continued
my( $testfunc, $funcname ) = @{$testtype{$type}};
my $output = $testfunc->(@array);
is( $output, $expected, "$funcname($input)=$output" );
```

}

• This only leaves the definitions of the four wrap functions. Here's

```
wrap_freq():
```

- The other 3 are left for you to find in the example tarball.
- This is **eg14** run it, we get output:

```
1..25
ok 1 - use frequtils;
...
ok 4 - build_freq_hash(1,2)=1:1,2:1
...
ok 13 - distinct_values(1,2,1,3)=1,2,3
...
ok 19 - unique_values(1,2,1,3)=2,3
...
ok 23 - distinct_nonunique_values(1,2,1)=1
```

 Perl has a module called Benchmark, with a partially OO interface and a procedural interface.

• A Benchmark->new object returns the current time, use it as (eg15):

• Given several alternative algorithms whose efficiency you want to compare, use the procedural interface (**eg16**) to run and report:

• There's another example (**eg17**) using a different benchmark function, \$benchmark\_object = countit( \$time, \$coderef ), to do more flexible benchmarking. Left for you to investigate.

Duncan White (CSG)

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

- Perl's best profiler module is Devel::NYTProf (written by the New York Times). Run one of your Perl programs (eg14 let's say) with: perl -d:NYTProf eg14
- Your program will run 2-3 times slower than usual, then when it finishes, you'll find the nytprof.out file, containing the profiling data.
- Now run a post-processor, nytprofhtml -open. This will produce an HTML report, and open a web browser browsing it. Look at

http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dcw/per12014/nytprof/ for an example of a larger Perl program under profiling, you see a table of where time was spent:

Calls	Р	F	Exclusive	Inclusiv	e Subroutine
			Time	Time	
14145230	4	1	21.3s	21.3s	NewBoard::cellstatus
5584	3	2	12.7s	27.5s	NewBoard::changeregioncolour
5585	4	3	12.3s	25.1s	NewBoard::extendregion
5528	2	1	4.73s	4.73s	Clone::clone (xsub)
2811488	1	1	4.51s	4.51s	NewBoard::markcellcolour
674063	7	2	1.09s	1.09s	NewBoard::cell

- Then click on any function to see a line by line breakdown of the number of times a line was run, and the time it took.
- Once you know the hotspots, you can consider selectively optimizing them. As in any language, repeated profiling and optimization passes can give dramatic speedups.

Duncan White (CSG)

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

- Perl features such as:
  - *typeglobs* manipulating symbol tables.
  - *Autoloading* defining a subroutine AUTOLOAD which handles missing subroutines!
  - Compile time vs run time distinctions, BEGIN and END blocks.
  - Writing Perl code on the fly via eval.
  - Exception handling via eval and die.
  - Perl one-liners (enough times).
- Using the *Perl debugger* (perldoc perldebug and perldoc perldebtut).
- *Perl and graphics* building GUIs using Tk or Gtk, visualizing directed graphs via GraphViz and it's friends, constructing image files via GD (useful for CGI programs generating dynamic images).
- Parser generators using Perl especially the awesome yacc-like module Parse::RecDescent.
- *Perl threads* semaphores, condition variables, mutexes, thread queues etc.
- Interfacing external C libraries into Perl via xs or Inline::C.

- Checkout the Extra Notes document on my website, contains material that didn't fit in the main lectures. New this year: lecture 6's Person/Programmer example done in Moose, a new alternative OO system for Perl.
- O'Reilly's site http://www.perl.com/ (*The Perl Resource*) is a wonderful source of Perl information, containing links to a multitude of Perl information.
- Our old friend **CPAN**: http://www.cpan.org/.
- The wonderful *Perl Journal* at http://tpj.com/ which started out as a quarterly paper journal and recently changed to a monthly e-zine in PDF format, still on subscription.
- The Perl Directory at http://www.perl.org/ is a directory of links to other Perl information and news.
- The Perl Monks at http://www.perlmonks.org/ is a forum-based discussion site for all matters Perlish.
- That's all folks! Enjoy your Perl programming and remember the Perl motto: *There's More Than One Way To Do It!*
- And they're all really good fun!