Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data

Matteo Magnani

Danilo Montesi

Dept. of Computer Science University of Bologna Via Mura A.Zamboni, 7 40127 Bologna Italy Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Camerino Via Madonna delle Carceri, 9 Camerino (MC) Italy

Introduction

- In a growing number of applications the classic relational model captures only a fraction of relevant data.
 - Biological databases.
 - XML data/document repositories.
 - The World Wide Web.
 - Multimedia data repositories.
- Specialized systems, models, and theories are available, customized to specific kinds of data.
- It is not unusual to have applications based on combinations of these systems.

Introduction

In the (not so far) future, everything about our life will be stored in a (not very large) database [2].



Introduction

- The *objective* is to have a single system storing heterogeneous data, and providing unified and homogeneous representation and manipulation functionalities.
- Existing system are already providing support for heterogeneous data.
- For instance, many information systems manipulate mixed XML and relational data.

The case of XML data

First main approach: Adaptation.

- Trees are converted to tables, and vice versa.
- SQL/XML (Oracle, DB2), FOR XML clause (SQL Server).





Adaptation

XML-enabled systems readily available.
Usable if the difference is *more on the format than on the model*.

The case of XML data

Second main approach: Rethinking.
New ad hoc systems.

Tamino, eXist, Galax.





Rethinking

- It needs time (more suited to academics and small applications than commercial/critical systems).
- It promises better results.
- At the end, many functionalities are not substantially different from those found in traditional systems → We would like to identify and change only those features that are specific to XML.

The case of XML data

Third main approach: Extension.New complex types are defined.Oracle's XML Type.



Extension

- Good choice to take care of object heterogeneity.
- Still constrained to be embedded into non-flexible relational structures.
- Very useful when the new data is not much structured.
- Otherwise, we must reimplement database functionalities inside the objects (=Rethinking).

Some basic considerations (I)

- There are operations which cannot be described by a simple, general, and compact model, as they are meaningful only when applied to particular kinds of data.
- For instance, the extraction of a color histogram from an image.
- Therefore, a model for heterogeneous data cannot describe everything, and must hide the details about elementary pieces of data.
- The level of detail is not absolute, but it depends on our requirements.

Some basic considerations (II)

There is a (limited) number of aggregation patterns for elementary kinds of data.



Some basic considerations (III)

- There are operations that we can nearly always perform on collections of data, and that can be modeled aside from its peculiarities.
- 'Give me all **O** where **P**.'
- 'Give me all Web Pages where the Title is "home page".'
- 'Give me all Tuples where the Identifier is '001'.'
- 'Give me all Images where the prevalent color is Green.'

DATABASE ARCHITECTURE

External Level

Logical Level

Physical Level

3-level architecture of (relational) databases. We re-define the logical level.

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data – p. 14



Collections are sets, multisets, lists of objects.
For example, relations are sets of tuples.

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data – p. 14



Objects are aggregations of atoms.Objects can be tuples, trees, graphs.

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data - p. 14



Atoms hide data heterogeneity.



The model instantiates to XQuery Sequences.

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data - p. 14



The model instantiates to Relational Tables.



The model instantiates to TAX Collections.



- Ex: $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(C) = \{ c \mid c \in C \land \mathbf{P}(c) \}$
- Based on abstract properties of the parameters.
- P returns *true* if an object *c* satisfies some contraints, *false* otherwise.
- We want to define the algebra without specifying P and other object-dependent parameters.



- A few kinds of parameters are enough to define the external algebra (SP,PF,EF,SF,NM).
- The intermediate language manipulates the internal structure of single objects (Ex: XPath).



Atomic functionalities depend on the type of the atoms.

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data – p. 15



Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data – p. 15

Expected Advantages

- For each layer, development of specific optimization techniques.
 - To manipulate large sets of objects.
 - To navigate XML trees.
 - To manipulate atoms (images, media, text).
- Easy extension of the system.
 - To add support for atomic types.
 - To add support for tuples, trees, graphs, and other aggregation patterns.

- Relational Algebra (RA) works well with relational data.
- As the relational model is a specific case of ours, our parametric algebra should reduce to RA.
- Therefore, we use RA as a starting point to define our algebra.
- We first generalize its operators, then define new operators for missing functionalities.

• σ and π are two basic functionalities borrowed by the relational context.



• σ selects some of the objects in a collection.



• π extracts part of the objects.



The opposite of projection is embedding (*c*).
Information to construct new data provided as

a parameter of the operator.



 As objects are not supposed to be elementary, we also need a grouping operator (γ).



• The opposite of a grouping is a splitting (ς).



- Finally, we have binary operators, to combine two collections.
- $\blacksquare \cup, \Join, -$
- Some other operators cannot be defined until we instantiate objects, as they change their internal organization.
- We call them *presentation operators*.

Algebraic Equivalences

- The operators presented in the last few slides make little assumptions on the internal organization of objects.
- As a consequence, we can define abstract equivalences.
- We present three examples of equivalences concerning the selection operator.

Algebraic Equivalences

- Some equivalences are generalizations of the relational ones.
- **Ex: Pushing selections down into joins**.

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(C_1 \Join_{\mathbf{P}'} C_2) &= C_1 \Join_{\mathbf{P}'} \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(C_2) \\ \text{if } \forall c' \in C_1, c'' \in C_2 \left(\mathbf{P}(c' \cup c'') = \mathbf{P}(c'') \right) \ . \end{split}$$

- P is a selection predicate (Ex: book/author='Shelley'), C₁, C₂ are collections.
- The condition specifies that P does not depend on the objects in C₁.

Algebraic Equivalences

- Some equivalences are *relational-like*, but applied to new operators.
- Ex: Inversion of selection and embedding.

$$\begin{split} &\sigma_{\mathrm{P}}(\epsilon_{\mathrm{FE}}(C)) = \epsilon_{\mathrm{FE}}(\sigma_{\mathrm{P}'}(C)) \\ &\text{if } \forall c \in C \; (\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{FE}(c)) = \mathrm{P}'(c)) \; \; . \end{split}$$

- FE specifies how to construct new data.
- With XML data, it corresponds to XQuery node constructors.
Algebraic Equivalences

- Some equivalences are *specific* for the new operators:
- **Ex: Pushing selections down into splittings**.

 $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\varsigma(C)) = \varsigma(\pi_{\mathcal{FP}}(C))$ if $\forall c \in C \ (\mathcal{FP}(c) = \{e \mid e \in c \land \mathcal{P}(\{e\})\})$.

FP is a projection function, specifying the part of the objects to be extracted.

A Concrete Example: XML/Relational Data Management

Objective

- Model for mixed XML/Relational data, as an instantiation of our abstract model.
- Homogeneous representation.
- The query algebra must support (significant subsets of) the main user languages.



Roadmap

- Parametric Algebra → Algebra for Mixed Data.
- To instantiate it, we must:
 - Define objects (the entities composing objects).
 - Define entity manipulation functions (we will use simple atoms).
 - Define application-specific presentation operators.

Entities: Data Trees

- An Object is a set of entities called Data Trees.
- A Collection is a set of Data Forests (Objects).
- A Data Forest can represent a Tuple with XML Trees.

Entities: Data Trees

- 1. $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a finite set of vertices.
- **2.** $E \subset \{(v_i, v_j) \mid v_i, v_j \in V\}.$
- 3. (V, E) is a directed tree.
- 4. \leq is a possibly empty partial order on *V*.
- 5. $\lambda : V \to (L \cup \{\text{null}\})$, where *L* is a set of labels.
- 6. $\tau: V \to T$, where T is a set of types.

7. $\forall v \in V \left(\delta(v) = \begin{cases} \oplus(c(v)) & \text{if outdegree}(v) \ge 1 \\ \delta'(v) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \right)$

 $-\oplus$ is a parametric concatenation operator, c(v) is the set of v's children, and δ' is a content function defined on leaf nodes.

Objects (Examples)

Relational Tuple:



Objects (Examples)

XML Tree:



Objects (Examples)

Mixed Data:



Predicates and Functions

- The parametric definition of our algebraic operators allows us to choose many languages to manipulate data forests.
- We need to define Object Manipulation Functions (SP, PF, EF, SF, NM).

P&F: Tree Selection Expressions

- Used to select the root nodes of data trees.
- $x \in \Sigma$ is a tree selection expression (TSE).
- (ϕ, ϕ) is a TSE if ϕ is a TSE.
- $\overline{\phi}$ is a TSE if ϕ is a TSE.



P&F: Node Markers



P&F: Projection Functions



P&F: Embedding Functions



Presentation Operators

- To complete the algebra instantiated by the predicates and functions just defined, we still need presentation operators.
- Looking at the definition of data trees, we can identify two missing operators, used to change order and labels.
- Renaming (ρ), changes node and column names.
- Ordering (ω), changes the relative order of nodes.

SQL/XML

- Conservative extension of SQL, augmented with functions that build XML data [3].
- We focus on a subset of SQL/XML that includes the two basic functionalities of the language:
 - XMLELEMENT()
 - XMLAGG()

SELECT XMLELEMENT(NAME "dep", XMLELEMENT(NAME "name",dep), XMLAGG(XMLELEMENT(NAME "emp",id))) AS result FROM EMP GROUP BY dep





$$\pi_{\$1/\text{emp}/*}(\epsilon_{\$1>(<\text{emp}>(+\text{id}))}(.))$$



$$\gamma_{\rm dep}(\pi_{-\$0/*}(\epsilon_{<\$0>(\$1)}(.)))$$



$$\pi_{\$3/\text{name}/\$}(\epsilon_{\$3>(<\text{name}>(+\text{dep}))}(.))$$



 $\pi_{-\$2/dep/*}(\epsilon_{<\$2>(<dep>(\$3,\$0))}(.))$



$$\rho_{\text{result} \leftarrow \$2}(\pi_{+\$2}(.))$$



Conclusion

- Data modeling: making it both generic and easily specializable is one of the main challenges.
- Parametric logical level that generalizes the main existing logical models.
- Application of the model to XML and Relational data.
- Some missing features:
 - Constraints.
 - Mapping to physical level.

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data

Matteo Magnani

Danilo Montesi

Dept. of Computer Science University of Bologna Via Mura A.Zamboni, 7 40127 Bologna Italy Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Camerino Via Madonna delle Carceri, 9 Camerino (MC) Italy

Additional Slides

- A step-by-step XQuery example.
- An example using Oracle XMLType.
- Other XQuery examples.
 - Input and Path Expressions.
 - FLWR Expressions.
 - Constructors.
 - A Complex Example.
- Grammars.
 - ♦ A Subset of SQL/XML.
 - A Subset of XQuery.



W3C proposal for an XML query language [1].
We focus on a subset of XQuery that includes:

- Simple path expressions.
- For-Let-Where-Return expressions.
- Constructors.
- Arbitrarily nested sub-queries.

for \$b in collection('books')
let \$c := \$b//chapter
where \$b/title = 'XQuery'
return \$c/title

$$\begin{split} \gamma(\pi_{+\overline{\$c,\$b}}(\pi_{+\$c;\$b;\$c/*/title}(\sigma_{\$b/*/title='XQuery'}(\\ \epsilon_{<\$c>(\overline{\$b})}(\pi_{+\$b;\$b/*//chapter}(\epsilon_{<\$b>(*)}(\\ \zeta(IN_{'books'}([]))))))))))) \end{split}$$

 $IN'_{books'}([])$



 $\epsilon_{<\$b>(\ast)}(\varsigma(.))$



 $\epsilon_{<\$c>(\overline{\$b})}(\pi_{+\$b;\$b/*//chapter}(.))$



$$\sigma_{b/*/title='XQuery'}(.)$$

1 1



$\gamma(\pi_{+\underline{\$c,\$b}}(\pi_{+\underline{\$c;\$b;\$c/*/title}}(.)))$





Consider the following Oracle10g SQL statements:

create table DEPS_AND_EMPS(XDATA sys.XMLTYPE);

create table DEP_INFO(Name VARCHAR2(10), Info VARCHAR2(80));



select D.XDATA.extract('/dep/name') .getClobVal() from DEPS_AND_EMPS D where D.XDATA.extract('/dep/id/text()') .getStringVal() = '0001';

 $\pi_{\text{XDATA/dep/name}}(\sigma_{\text{XDATA/dep/id/}*='0001'}(D))$



select D.XDATA, I.Info from DEPS_AND_EMPS D, DEP_INFO I where D.XDATA.extract('/dep/name/text()') .getStringVal() = I.Name;

 $\pi_{\text{XDATA,Info}}(D \Join_{\text{XDATA/dep/name/}*=Name/*} I)$
Input and Path Expressions

doc('bib.xml')//author/surname $\pi_{+*//author/surname}(IN_{'bib.xml'}([]))$

FLWR Expressions

Constructors

<greetings>
Hello <planet>World</planet>
</greetings> $\epsilon_{<greetings>('Hello ',$0)}^{-}(\epsilon_{<\$0>(*)}(\epsilon_{<planet>('World')}([])))$

A More Complex Example

for \$a in collection('Authors') return <author> \$a/name {for \$b in collection('Books') where b/author/* = a/id/*return \$b/title} {for \$j in collection('Journals') where j/author/* = a/id/*return \$j/title} </author>

A More Complex Example

Grammar of a SQL/XML Subset I

CompilationUnit ::= SelectStatement ";" TableColumn ::= Name ("." Name)? Name ::= (<S_IDENTIFIER> | <S_QUOTED_ID>) TableReference ::= Name SelectStatement ::= "SELECT" SelectList FromClause (WhereClause)? (GroupByClause) SelectList ::= ("*" | SelectItem (", " SelectItem)*) SelectItem ::= (SQLPrimaryExpression XMLElement XMLAgg)(As)? As ::= "AS" <S_IDENTIFIER> FromClause ::= "FROM" TableReference (", " TableReference)* WhereClause ::= "WHERE" SQLExpression

Grammar of a SQL/XML Subset II

```
GroupByClause ::= "GROUP" "BY" TableColumn
                  ( ", " TableColumn )*
SQLExpression ::= SQLComparisonExpr
          ( "AND" SQLComparisonExpr )*
SQLComparisonExpr::= SQLPrimaryExpr
                     <Relop> SQLPrimaryExpr
SQLPrimaryExpr ::= (TableColumn | <S_NUMBER> |
                   <S_CHAR_LITERAL>)
XMLElement ::= "XMLELEMENT(NAME" <S QUOTED ID>
  "," ElementContent ("," ElementContent)* ")"
ElementContent ::= (Name | XMLElement | XMLAgg
                     <S_CHAR_LITERAL>)
XMLAgg ::= "XMLAGG(" XMLElement ( ","
                     XMLElement )* ")"
```

Towards a unified model for heterogeneous data – p. 59

Grammar of an XQuery Subset I

```
Expr ::= InputExpr | FLWORExpr | Literal
           Constructor
InputExpr ::= (InputFunctionCall | VarRef)
              (PathExpr)?
InputFunctionCall ::= ("doc(" | "collection(")
                      <StringLiteral> ")"
VarRef ::= <VarName>
PathExpr ::= ( ChildStep | DescendantStep )
             ( ChildStep | DescendantStep )*
ChildStep ::= "/" NameTest
DescendantStep ::= "//" NameTest
NameTest ::= <QName> | "*"
FLWRExpr ::= (ForClause | LetClause)+
              (WhereClause)? "return" Expr
```

Grammar of an XQuery Subset II

ForClause ::= "for" <VarName> "in" Expr LetClause ::= "let" <VarName> ":=" Expr WhereClause ::= "where" Expr <CompOp> Expr Constructor ::= "<" <TagQName> ("/>" (">" ElementContent* "<" <TaqQName> ">")) ElementContent ::= <ElementContentChar> Constructor | EnclosedExpr Literal ::= NumericLiteral | <StringLiteral> NumericLiteral ::= <IntegerLiteral> <DecimalLiteral> <DoubleLiteral> EnclosedExpr ::= "{" Expr "}"

References

- [1] Scott Boag, Don Chamberlin, Mary F. Fernández, Daniela Florescu, Jonathan Robie, and Jérôme Siméon. XQuery 1.0: An XML query language (working draft, nov 12, 2003). Technical report, W3C, 2003. http://www.w3.org/TR/xquery/.
- [2] Jim Gemmel, Gordon Bell, Roger Lueder, Steven Drucker, and Curtis Wong. MyLifeBits: Fulfilling the memex vision. In *Multimedia'02*, pages 235–238, Juan-les-Pins, France, December 2002. ACM.
- [3] Jim Melton. SQL part 14: SQL/XML. Technical report, ISO/ANSI, 2003.