#### Rank and Nullity theorem

#### Rank and Nullity of a matrix

- Given a linear map f : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → ℝ<sup>m</sup>, its image space or range space is defined as im(f) = {f(x) : x ∈ ℝ<sup>n</sup>} and its kernel or null space is defined by null(f) = {x : f(x) = 0}.
- Similarly, the same notions are defined for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  which represents *f*.
- Dually, we have similar definitions Im(A<sup>T</sup>) and null(A<sup>T</sup>) for the transpose A<sup>T</sup> ∈ ℝ<sup>n×m</sup>
- im(f) and null(f) are both subspaces (i.e., closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication).
- The celebrated Rank-Nullity theorem says that for any linear map *f* : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → ℝ<sup>m</sup> we have: dim(im(*f*)) + dim(null(*f*)) = *n*.
- This theorem is an immediate consequence of the following results on matrices.

#### Rank and nullity of matrices

- Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we show that
- column rank(A) = row rank(A) := rank(A).
- column rank(A) + nullity(A) = n.
- column rank(A) + nullity( $A^T$ ) = m.
- These properties are consequence of the following facts:
- (i) An elementary row or column operation does not change the column rank or the row rank of *A*.
- (ii) Using these elementary operations *A* can be reduced to the following block matrix of an identity matrix  $I_{r \times r}$  of dimension *r* and three zero matrices  $0_{r \times (n-r)} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times (n-r)}$ ,  $0_{(m-r) \times r} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-r) \times r}$  and  $0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-r) \times (n-r)}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{r \times r} & 0_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Elementary operations preserve rank and nullity I

- ► Claim 1. An elementary row operation on A ∈ ℝ<sup>m×n</sup> does not change its column rank.
- Proof. Since the column rank of A is the maximum number of columns of A that are linearly independent, it is sufficient (by an exercise in Tutorial Sheet 4) to show that an elementary row operation does not change the linear independence of the columns of A.
- Recall that columns of A are linearly independent iff Ax = 0 implies x = 0.
- ► Let A' be the result of an elementary row operation on A.
- We show that Ax = 0 iff A'x = 0 which proves that columns of A and those of A' are either both linearly independent or both linearly dependent (why?).
- We show this for the elementary row operation of subtracting λ times the second row a<sup>2</sup> from the first row a<sup>1</sup>:
- Ax = 0 iff  $a^1 \cdot x = a^2 \cdot x = \cdots = a^m \cdot x = 0$  iff  $(a^1 - \lambda a^2) \cdot x = a^2 \cdot x = \cdots = a^m \cdot x = 0$  iff A'x = 0.
- The proof for the other elementary operations is similar.

# Elementary operations preserve rank and nullity II

- Claim 2. An elementary row operation does not change the linear independence of the rows of a matrix.
- ▶ **Proof.** By taking the transpose of the matrix, we can equivalently show that an elementary column operation does not change the linear independence of the columns of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .
- Again the case of swapping two rows or multiplying a row by a non-zero number are trivial.
- We show it for A'' = [a<sub>1</sub> λa<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ··· , a<sub>n</sub>] obtained by the elementary column operation of subtracting λ times the second column a<sub>2</sub> from the first column a<sub>1</sub> of A.
- Recall that  $Ax = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a_i$ .
- ▶ If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are linearly independent and if A''x = 0, then  $x_1(a_1 - \lambda a_2) + x_2a_2 + \dots + x_na_n = 0$ , i.e.,  $x_1a_1 + (x_2 - \lambda x_1)a_2 + \dots + x_na_n = 0$ , which by the linear independence of  $a_i$ 's implies  $x_1 = x_2 - \lambda x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ , i.e.,  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$ . Thus, the columns of A'' are linearly independent.

# Elementary operations preserve rank and nullity III

- Now for the converse, assume that the columns of A" = [a₁ − λa₂, a₂, ··· , aₙ] are linearly independent and that Ax = 0.
- ► Then  $x_1a_1 + x_2a_2 + x_3a_1 + \dots + x_na_n = 0$  and thus  $x_1(a_1 \lambda a_2) + (x_2 + \lambda x_1)a_2 + \dots + x_na_n = 0$  which gives

• 
$$x_1 = x_2 + \lambda x_1 = x_3 = \cdots = x_n = 0$$
,

- which implies  $x_i = 0$  for all *i*.
- Thus, the columns of A are linearly independent, which completes the proof of that elementary row operations do not change the column or row rank of a matrix. By considering A<sup>T</sup>, the same is true for elementary column operations.
- ► Finally, we know that using Gauss-Jordan technique we can reduce any m × n matrix by elementary row and column operations to a diagonal matrix where all its non-zero entries are on the diagonal.
- ► This completes the proof of the rank-nullity theorem.