Imperial College London



How can we achieve truly robust dense, real-time matching?

The strong priors generally available on image correspondence problems, are often used partially and post-hoc to resolve matching consensus using algorithms like RANSAC often compromising accuracy for speed. Instead, fully integrating these priors in an 'Active Matching' (AM) approach achieves truly robust performance with rigorous decisions driven by Information Theory. However, the overhead involved in maintaining the joint PDF over feature locations in AM severely limits its scalability. With the aim of bringing the robustness of AM into dense real-time matching, in this paper we present CLAM and SubAM which exhibit dramatically more scalable operation via relaxations to the rigid probabilistic model of AM.

2. Active Matching (AM) [Chli, Davison ECCV 2008]

- Step-by-step search for global consensus in the presence of ambiguity.
- Uses a mixture of Gaussians to describe matching state.
- Search completion defined in terms of probabilistic criteria.

- In every matching step:
 PREDICT which candidate gives max. MI efficiency • **MEASURE** this candidate
 - **UPDATE** the mixture of Gaussians
- Highly robust to outliers.
- Main bottleneck: Poor scaling to large numbers of features due to the costly maintenance of priors in a dense PDF.

4. Chow-Liu Active Matching (CLAM)





- Approximates the joint PDF over feature locations with the Chow-Liu tree.
- Uses belief propagation to propagate updates to the graph following the observation of each node (feature).
- Able to efficiently match around **200 features in real-time**.

6. R	esults &	Conclu	isions		
5500		, N			
5000				·····	
4500					
(in 1000) (in 3500)					
₩ 3000				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2500 — · · ·		·····			
¥ 2000		·····			
1000		70ms for 100 features			MARANA MALAMA
500			hand Marchange	Annumert my	~ LAMAnnahm
0 0	50	100	150	200 NUMBER OF FEATL	250 3 JRES



Scalable Active Matching

Ankur Handa, Margarita Chli, Hauke Strasdat, Andrew J. Davison



CLAM is efficient because: ✓ Message passing is O(n) in the worst case. \checkmark Matching is progressively broken down in smaller sub-trees.

 CLAM is robust because: \checkmark The Chow-Liu tree captures the most important correlation structure. \checkmark The approximation is conservative.



Time requirements:

- AM grows at a much faster exponential rate and is not suitable for real-time beyond 50 features.
- CLAM exhibits a vast reduction in processing time, with real-time performance feasible up to the 100-200 feature level and a relatively modest loss of speed beyond this.
- SubAM takes performance into the real-time domain for large numbers of features per frame:
 - \checkmark Highly scalable
 - \checkmark Can efficiently track 400 features meeting the computational demands in real-time.

Our approach:





- Able to efficiently match **400 features in real time** (~170ms).

2. Effective Approximations of Matching Priors

• Dense, real-time matching: RANSAC is the dominant, if not imperative choice but sacrifices valued cues for the sake of speed \Rightarrow **aim:** bring the robustness of AM into dense real-time matching.

• Pairwise Mutual Information (MI): an absolute, normalised measure of correlation.

• [Chow, Liu 1968]: The optimum approximation of a joint PDF with a 1st order dependency tree is the max. spanning tree in the MI graph.

• Use the Chow-Liu tree as a basis for probabilistic approximations to AM.

• Relax the rigid probabilistic model of AM via approximations to the prior correlation structure preserving the dominant links.

• CLAM reduces the dense graph of probabilistic model to the Chow-Liu tree.

 SubAM reduces the model graph to a tree of fullyconnected clusters.



5. Subset Active Matching (SubAM)

• Approximates the joint PDF over feature locations with a tree of clusters. • A local active matching process is run in each cluster visited in depth first order.

- - \checkmark in each cluster. \checkmark between clusters in the neighbourhood.







• SubAM is **highly efficient** because:

 \checkmark Messages are only passed to the immediate neighbouring clusters. ✓ Local AM steps run in each cluster.

• SubAM is **robust** because it preserves the correlation links:

] <u>S</u>	SubAM timings breakdown:			
•	The evaluation of MIs is the most expensive step (as is the case in AM) since AM is performed within each feature subset.			
- •	Breaking the matching problem into highly-correlated clusters dramatically improves the scalability of fully probabilistic matching.			
	Future research involves studying and employing more graph theoretic insights to do full dense matching.			