

Perl Short Course: Seventh Session

Duncan C. White (d.white@imperial.ac.uk)

Dept of Computing,
Imperial College London

December 2012

- Most programmers come to Perl from imperative/OO languages like C and Java, so there's a tendency to use Perl as a *Super C*.

- Most programmers come to Perl from imperative/OO languages like C and Java, so there's a tendency to use Perl as a *Super C*.
- But Perl has many functional programming techniques which we can use in our own programs:
 - **map** and **grep**
 - **code references for higher-order functions**
 - **passing functions around as values**
 - **data-driven programming: coderefs in data structures**
 - **coderefs are closures**
 - **function factories: functions that return functions!**
 - **iterators, finite and infinite**

- Most programmers come to Perl from imperative/OO languages like C and Java, so there's a tendency to use Perl as a *Super C*.
- But Perl has many functional programming techniques which we can use in our own programs:
 - **map** and **grep**
 - **code references for higher-order functions**
 - **passing functions around as values**
 - **data-driven programming: coderefs in data structures**
 - **coderefs are closures**
 - **function factories: functions that return functions!**
 - **iterators, finite and infinite**
 - **currying**
 - **lazy evaluation - handling infinite Linked lists**
- So in this lecture, I'm going to try to persuade you that *Perl is a functional language*. Well, sort of.
- I'm using the new `Function::Parameter` syntax (`fun name(args)`) throughout, as it's much prettier.

- We've already seen Perl's built-in **map** and **grep** operators, enabling you to transform every element of a list, or select interesting elements from a list, but we haven't stressed that these are higher order functions.

- We've already seen Perl's built-in **map** and **grep** operators, enabling you to transform every element of a list, or select interesting elements from a list, but we haven't stressed that these are higher order functions.
- For example, **eg1**:

```

my @orig      = (1,2,3,4);                # 1,2,3,4
my @double    = map { $_ * 2 } @orig;     # 2,4,6,8
my @twicelong = map { $_, $_ * 2 } @orig; # 1,2,2,4,3,6,4,8
my %doublehash = map { $_ => $_ * 2 } @orig; # 1=>2, 2=>4, 3=>6, 4=>8

my @odd = grep { $_ % 2 == 1 } @orig; my $odd=join(', ',@odd); # (1,3)
my @even = grep { $_ % 2 == 0 } @orig; my $even=join(', ',@even);# (2,4)
print "odd: $odd, even: $even\n";

my @sq = grep { my $r=int(sqrt($_)); $r*$r == $_ } @orig; # (1,4)
my $sq = join(', ',@sq);
print "sq: $sq\n";

```

- We've already seen Perl's built-in **map** and **grep** operators, enabling you to transform every element of a list, or select interesting elements from a list, but we haven't stressed that these are higher order functions.
- For example, **eg1**:

```

my @orig      = (1,2,3,4);                # 1,2,3,4
my @double   = map { $_ * 2 } @orig;      # 2,4,6,8
my @twice    = map { $_, $_ * 2 } @orig;  # 1,2,2,4,3,6,4,8
my %doublehash = map { $_ => $_ * 2 } @orig; # 1=>2, 2=>4, 3=>6, 4=>8

my @odd = grep { $_ % 2 == 1 } @orig; my $odd=join(', ',@odd); # (1,3)
my @even = grep { $_ % 2 == 0 } @orig; my $even=join(', ',@even);# (2,4)
print "odd: $odd, even: $even\n";

my @sq = grep { my $r=int(sqrt($_)); $r*$r == $_ } @orig; # (1,4)
my $sq = join(', ',@sq);
print "sq: $sq\n";

```

- Recall that **map** and **grep** are roughly:

map OP ARRAY is

```

my @result = ();
foreach (ARRAY)
{
    push @result, OP($_);
}

```

grep OP ARRAY is

```

my @result = ();
foreach (ARRAY)
{
    push @result, $_ if OP($_);
}

```

- The most fundamental Functional Programming concept is passing functions around as values.

- The most fundamental Functional Programming concept is passing functions around as values.
- You can do this in Perl using a *coderef*, a reference to a function. Like a *pointer to a function* in C terms.

- The most fundamental Functional Programming concept is passing functions around as values.
- You can do this in Perl using a *coderef*, a reference to a function. Like a *pointer to a function* in C terms.
- For example: **eg2** and **eg3**:

```
fun double_scalar($n)
{
    return $n * 2;
}
```

```
my $coderef = \&double_scalar;
```

```
# TIME PASSES...
```

```
my $scalar = $coderef->( 10 );
```

```
print "scalar: $scalar\n";
```

```
fun double_array(@x)
{
    return map { $_ * 2 } @x;
}
```

```
my $coderef = \&double_array;
```

```
# TIME PASSES...
```

```
my @array = $coderef->( 1, 2, 3 );
```

```
my $str = join(', ', @array);
print "array: $str\n";
```

- Will produce 20 and (2,4,6) as output.
- Note that a considerable amount of time may pass between taking the reference and calling the referenced function, symbolised by **TIME PASSES** above.

- Can generalise this to **eg4**:

```
fun double_scalar($n)
{
    return $n * 2;
}

fun double_array(@x)
{
    return map { $_ * 2 } @x;
}

fun apply( $coderef, @args )
{
    return $coderef->( @args );
}

my $scalar = apply( \&double_scalar, 10 );
print "scalar: $scalar\n";

my @array = apply( \&double_array, 1, 2, 3 );
my $str = join(', ', @array);
print "array: $str\n";
```

- The results are the same as before.
- You might wonder whether you need to name little helper functions like `double_scalar` if the only use of them is to make a coderef via `\&double_scalar`.

- You'd be right to wonder! Use *anonymous coderefs* as in **eg5**:

```
fun apply( $coderef, @args )
{
    return $coderef->( @args );
}

my $scalar = apply( fun ($x) { return $x * 2 }, 10 );
print "scalar: $scalar\n";

my @array = apply( fun (@x) { return map { $_ * 2 } @x }, 1, 2, 3 );
my $str = join(', ', @array);
print "array: $str\n";
```

- You'd be right to wonder! Use *anonymous coderefs* as in **eg5**:

```

fun apply( $coderef, @args )
{
    return $coderef->( @args );
}

my $scalar = apply( fun ($x) { return $x * 2 }, 10 );
print "scalar: $scalar\n";

my @array = apply( fun (@x) { return map { $_ * 2 } @x }, 1, 2, 3 );
my $str = join(', ', @array);
print "array: $str\n";

```

- If we add a prototype to `apply` via:

```
fun apply($coderef,@args) :(&@)      # or sub (&@) { my($coderef,@args)=@_;..
```

(Here, `&` tells Perl the given argument *must be a coderef*.)

- Then add the following inside `apply`:

```
local $_ = $args[0];
```

(`local` saves the old value of the global `$_`, before setting it to the given value, the new value persists until `apply` returns when the old value is restored.)

- Now we can write `map` like code using `$_`:

```
my $scalar = apply { $_ * 2 } 10;
```

- Coderefs can be built into data structures such as:

```
my %op = (  
    '+' => fun ($x,$y) { $x + $y },  
    '-' => fun ($x,$y) { $x - $y },  
    '*' => fun ($x,$y) { $x * $y },  
    '/' => fun ($x,$y) { $x / $y },  
);
```

- Then a particular coderef can be invoked as follows:

```
my $operator = "*"; my $x = 10; my $y = 20;  
my $value = $op{$operator}->( $x, $y );
```

- Coderefs can be built into data structures such as:

```
my %op = (
    '+' => fun ($x,$y) { $x + $y },
    '-' => fun ($x,$y) { $x - $y },
    '*' => fun ($x,$y) { $x * $y },
    '/' => fun ($x,$y) { $x / $y },
);
```

- Then a particular coderef can be invoked as follows:

```
my $operator = "*"; my $x = 10; my $y = 20;
my $value = $op{$operator}->( $x, $y );
```

- We can use the above technique to build a simple *Reverse Polish Notation (RPN)* evaluator:

```
fun eval_rpn(@atom)                                # each atom: operator or number
{
    my @stack;                                     # evaluation stack
    foreach my $atom (@atom)
    {
        if( $atom =~ /\d+/ )                       # number?
        {
            push @stack, $atom;
        } else                                     # operator?
        {
            die "eval_rpn: bad atom $atom\n" unless exists $op{$atom};
            my $y = pop @stack; my $x = pop @stack;
            push @stack, $op{$atom}->( $x, $y );
        }
    }
    return pop @stack;
}
```

- The above RPN evaluator, with some more error checking and example calls such as:

```
my $n = eval_rpn( qw(1 2 3 * + 4 - 5 *) );
```

is **eg6**. Try it out.

- The above RPN evaluator, with some more error checking and example calls such as:

```
my $n = eval_rpn( qw(1 2 3 * + 4 - 5 *) );
```

is **eg6**. Try it out.

- This technique is often called *data-driven* or *table-driven* programming, very easy to extend by modifying the table.
- For example, add the following operators (giving **eg7**):

```
my %op = (
  ....
  '%'    => fun ($x,$y) { $x % $y },
  '^'    => fun ($x,$y) { $x ** $y },
  '>'    => fun ($x,$y) { $x > $y },
  'swap' => fun ($x,$y) { ($y, $x) },
);
```

- % and ^ are conventional binary operators, but note that swap takes 2 inputs and produces 2 outputs - the same two, swapped!
- This works because whatever the operator returns, whether one or many results, is pushed onto the stack.

- To vary the number of inputs each operator takes, change the data structure and code slightly (giving **eg8**).
- First, change the data structure:

```
my %op = (  
  '+' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x + $y } ],  
  '-' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x - $y } ],  
  '*' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x * $y } ],  
  '/' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x / $y } ],  
  '%' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x % $y } ],  
  ...  
);
```

- To vary the number of inputs each operator takes, change the data structure and code slightly (giving **eg8**).
- First, change the data structure:

```
my %op = (
    '+' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x + $y } ],
    '-' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x - $y } ],
    '*' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x * $y } ],
    '/' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x / $y } ],
    '%' => [ 2, fun ($x,$y) { $x % $y } ],
    ...
);
```

- Here, each hash value is changed from a coderef to a *reference to a 2-element list*, i.e. a 2-tuple, of the form:

```
[ no_of_args, code_ref ].
```

- So each existing binary operator `op => function` pair becomes:

```
op => [ 2, function ]
```

- But now we can add unary and trinary ops as follows:

```
my %op = (
    ...
    'neg' => [ 1, fun ($x) { - $x } ],
    'sqrt' => [ 1, fun ($x) { sqrt( $x ) } ],
    'ifelse' => [ 3, fun ($x,$y,$z) { $x ? $y : $z } ],
);
```

- The operator invocation code changes to:

```
my( $nargs, $func ) = @{$op{$atom}};
my $depth = @stack;
die "eval_rpn: stack depth $depth when $nargs needed\n"
    if $depth < $nargs;
my @args = reverse map { pop @stack } 1..$nargs;
push @stack, $func->( @args );
```

- I rather like the `args = reverse map {pop} 1..n` line:-)
- This now allows a call such as:

```
my $n = eval_rpn( qw(7 5 * 4 8 * > 1 neg 2 neg ifelse) );
```

- The operator invocation code changes to:

```
my( $nargs, $func ) = @{$op{$atom}};
my $depth = @stack;
die "eval_rpn: stack depth $depth when $nargs needed\n"
    if $depth < $nargs;
my @args = reverse map { pop @stack } 1..$nargs;
push @stack, $func->( @args );
```

- I rather like the `args = reverse map {pop} 1..n` line:-)
- This now allows a call such as:

```
my $n = eval_rpn( qw(7 5 * 4 8 * > 1 neg 2 neg ifelse) );
```

- This is equivalent to the more normal expression:

```
if( 7*5 > 4*8 ) -1 else -2
```

- Which, because $35 > 32$, gives -1.
- Change the 5 to a 4, this (because $28 \leq 32$) gives -2.
- One could make further extensions to this RPN calculator, in particular variables could be added easily enough (store them in a hash, add get and set operators). But we must move on.

- So far, we've only seen passing coderefs into functions.

- So far, we've only seen passing coderefs into functions.
- However, you can write a **function factory** which *constructs and returns a coderef*. For example:

```
fun timesn($n)
{
    return fun ($x) { return $n * $x };
}
```

- `timesn(N)` delivers you a newly minted coderef which, *when it is later called with a single argument*, multiplies it by N.

- So far, we've only seen passing coderefs into functions.
- However, you can write a **function factory** which *constructs and returns a coderef*. For example:

```
fun timesn($n)
{
    return fun ($x) { return $n * $x };
}
```

- `timesn(N)` delivers you a newly minted coderef which, *when it is later called with a single argument*, multiplies it by N.
- For example (**eg9**):

```
my $doubler = timesn(2);
my $d = $doubler->(10);      # 20

my $tripler = timesn(3);
my $t = $tripler->(10);     # 30

print "d=$d, t=$t\n";
```


- So far, we've only seen passing coderefs into functions.
- However, you can write a **function factory** which *constructs and returns a coderef*. For example:

```
fun timesn($n)
{
    return fun ($x) { return $n * $x };
}
```

- `timesn(N)` delivers you a newly minted coderef which, *when it is later called with a single argument*, multiplies it by N.
- For example (eg9):

```
my $doubler = timesn(2);
my $d = $doubler->(10);      # 20

my $tripler = timesn(3);
my $t = $tripler->(10);     # 30

print "d=$d, t=$t\n";
```

- Subtlety: in C, a function pointer is simply a machine address. In Perl, a coderef is a **closure**: a *machine address plus a private environment*. In this case, each `timesn()` call has a different local variable `$n` which the coderef must remember.

- Objection 1: the previous example only used one coderef at a time. Replace the calls as follows (**eg10**):

```
my $doubler = timesn(2);
my $tripler = timesn(3);

foreach my $arg (@ARGV)
{
    my $f = $arg%2 == 1 ? $doubler : $tripler;
    my $x = $f->($arg);
    print "f->($arg)=$x\n";
}
```

- Here, we select either the doubler or the tripler based on dynamic input - the doubler if the current command line argument is odd, else the tripler. So `eg10 1 2 3 4` generates `2 6 6 12`.

- Objection 1: the previous example only used one coderef at a time. Replace the calls as follows (**eg10**):

```
my $doubler = timesn(2);
my $tripler = timesn(3);

foreach my $arg (@ARGV)
{
    my $f = $arg%2 == 1 ? $doubler : $tripler;
    my $x = $f->($arg);
    print "f->($arg)=$x\n";
}
```

- Here, we select either the doubler or the tripler based on dynamic input - the doubler if the current command line argument is odd, else the tripler. So `eg10 1 2 3 4` generates `2 6 6 12`.
- Objection 2: `$n` was a known (constant) value when the coderef was built. Did Perl rewrite it as a constant?

- Objection 1: the previous example only used one coderef at a time. Replace the calls as follows (**eg10**):

```
my $doubler = timesn(2);
my $tripler = timesn(3);

foreach my $arg (@ARGV)
{
    my $f = $arg%2 == 1 ? $doubler : $tripler;
    my $x = $f->($arg);
    print "f->($arg)=$x\n";
}
```

- Here, we select either the doubler or the tripler based on dynamic input - the doubler if the current command line argument is odd, else the tripler. So `eg10 1 2 3 4` generates `2 6 6 12`.
- Objection 2: `$n` was a known (constant) value when the coderef was built. Did Perl rewrite it as a constant?
- We can disprove this idea - a coderef can change it's environment!

```
fun makecounter($n)
{
    return fun { return $n++ };
}
```

- To use `makecounter()` write (**eg11**):

```
my $c1 = makecounter( 10 );

my $v;
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
```

- To use `makecounter()` write (**eg11**):

```
my $c1 = makecounter( 10 );  
  
my $v;  
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";  
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";  
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
```

- Every time `$c1` is called, it retrieves the current value of its private variable `$n`, increments it for next time, and returns the previous value. So `eg11` delivers 10 11 12.
- This is a special type of closure called an *iterator*. Calling an iterator to deliver the next value is called *kicking the iterator*.

- To use `makecounter()` write (**eg11**):

```
my $c1 = makecounter( 10 );

my $v;
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n";
```

- Every time `$c1` is called, it retrieves the current value of its private variable `$n`, increments it for next time, and returns the previous value. So `eg11` delivers 10 11 12.
- This is a special type of closure called an *iterator*. Calling an iterator to deliver the next value is called *kicking the iterator*.
- Objection 3: anyone can juggle one ball. Can you have more than one counter? Yes! **eg12** shows this:

```
my $c1 = makecounter( 10 );
my $c2 = makecounter( 100 );
my $v;
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n"; # 10
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n"; # 11
$v = $c2->(); print "c2: $v\n"; # 100
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n"; # 12
$v = $c2->(); print "c2: $v\n"; # 101
$v = $c1->(); print "c1: $v\n"; # 13
```

- So far, our iterators have generated infinite sequences. But an iterator can terminate when it finishes iterating (like `each`).
- Easy to do, return a sentinel value to inform us that the iterator has finished. Most obvious value: `undef`. For example:

```
fun upto( $n, $max )
{
    return fun {
        return undef if $n > $max;
        return $n++;
    };
}
```


- So far, our iterators have generated infinite sequences. But an iterator can terminate when it finishes iterating (like `each`).
- Easy to do, return a sentinel value to inform us that the iterator has finished. Most obvious value: `undef`. For example:

```
fun upto( $n, $max )
{
    return fun {
        return undef if $n > $max;
        return $n++;
    };
}
```

- You might call this with code like (**eg13**):

```
my $counter = upto( 1, 10 );
while( my $n = $counter->() )
{
    print "counter: $n\n";
}
```

- When run, this counts from 1 to 10 and then stops. Multiple counters work fine (because `n` and `$max` form the closure environment), **eg14** shows an example (omitted here).

- It's easy to define map and grep for iterators:

```
#
# $it2 = map_i( $op, $it ): Equivalent of map for iterators.
#     Given two coderefs ($op, an operator, and $it, an iterator),
#     return a new iterator $it2 which applies $op to each value
#     returned by the inner iterator $it.
#
fun map_i( $op, $it ) :(&$)
{
    return fun {
        my $v = $it->();
        return undef unless defined $v;
        local $_ = $v;
        return $op->($v);
    };
}
```

- It's easy to define map and grep for iterators:

```
#
# $it2 = map_i( $op, $it ): Equivalent of map for iterators.
#   Given two coderefs ($op, an operator, and $it, an iterator),
#   return a new iterator $it2 which applies $op to each value
#   returned by the inner iterator $it.
#
fun map_i( $op, $it ) :(&$)
{
    return fun {
        my $v = $it->();
        return undef unless defined $v;
        local $_ = $v;
        return $op->($v);
    };
}
```

- Now, we can write (eg15):

```
my $lim = shift @ARGV || 10;
my $scale = shift @ARGV || 2;

my $c = map_i { $_ * $scale } upto( 1, $lim );

while( my $n = $c->() ) { print "$n,"; }
print "\n";
```

- It's easy to define map and grep for iterators:

```
#
# $it2 = map_i( $op, $it ): Equivalent of map for iterators.
#     Given two coderefs ($op, an operator, and $it, an iterator),
#     return a new iterator $it2 which applies $op to each value
#     returned by the inner iterator $it.
#
fun map_i( $op, $it ) :(&$)
{
    return fun {
        my $v = $it->();
        return undef unless defined $v;
        local $_ = $v;
        return $op->($v);
    };
}
```

- Now, we can write (**eg15**):

```
my $lim = shift @ARGV || 10;
my $scale = shift @ARGV || 2;

my $c = map_i { $_ * $scale } upto( 1, $lim );

while( my $n = $c->() ) { print "$n,"; }
print "\n";
```

- When run with `lim=10, scale=3`, this produces:

```
3,6,9,12,15,18,21,24,27,30,
```

- `grep_i($op, $it)` is not much more complicated, **eg16** shows it (omitted here).

- A hard-core functional programming feature is **Currying**: the ability to *partially call a function* - to provide (say) a 3-argument function with it's first argument and deliver a 2-argument function.
- Simple to do:

```
fun curry( $func, $firstarg )
{
    return fun {
        return $func->( $firstarg, @_ );
    };
}
```

- A hard-core functional programming feature is **Currying**: the ability to *partially call a function* - to provide (say) a 3-argument function with it's first argument and deliver a 2-argument function.

- Simple to do:

```
fun curry( $func, $firstarg )
{
    return fun {
        return $func->( $firstarg, @_ );
    };
}
```

- You might call this with code like (**eg17**):

```
fun add($a,$b) { return $a + $b };

my $plus4 = curry( \&add, 4 );           # an "add 4 to my arg" func
my $x = $plus4->(10);                   # x=10+4 i.e. 14
print "x=$x\n";
```

- As expected, the `$plus4` function acts exactly as an *add 4 to my single argument* function, delivering 14 as the result.

- One of the coolest features of functional programming languages is **lazy evaluation** - the ability to handle very large or even infinite data structures, evaluating only on demand.
- It's surprisingly easy to add laziness in Perl:

- One of the coolest features of functional programming languages is **lazy evaluation** - the ability to handle very large or even infinite data structures, evaluating only on demand.
- It's surprisingly easy to add laziness in Perl:
- Let's extend last lecture's linked List module to work with *lazy linked lists* (sometimes known as *streams*).

- One of the coolest features of functional programming languages is **lazy evaluation** - the ability to handle very large or even infinite data structures, evaluating only on demand.
- It's surprisingly easy to add laziness in Perl:
- Let's extend last lecture's linked List module to work with *lazy linked lists* (sometimes known as *streams*).
- Only one design change is needed: allow a list tail to *either* be an ordinary *nil-or-cons* list *or a coderef* - a **promise** to deliver the next part of the list (whether empty or nonempty) on demand.
- When `$list->headtail` splits a node into head `$h` and tail `$t`, we'll need to detect whether `$t` is a promise (a coderef), via `ref($t) eq "CODE"`. If `$t` is a promise, we must **force the promise** - invoking the promise function, which will deliver a real list (empty or nonempty) which is the real tail:

```
my( $h, $t ) = @$self;  
$t = $t->() if ref($t) eq "CODE";           # FORCE A PROMISE  
return ( $h, $t );
```

- Concern: a lazy list might be finite, or infinite. Given an infinite list `$inflight`, we have a fundamental problem: `$inflight->len`, `$inflight->rev` and `$inflight->append($second_list)` will never terminate. This can't be solved - it's inevitable!

- Concern: a lazy list might be finite, or infinite. Given an infinite list `$inflight`, we have a fundamental problem: `$inflight->len`, `$inflight->rev` and `$inflight->append($second_list)` will never terminate. This can't be solved - it's inevitable!
- Fortunately, we have already engineered the concept of “*show only the first N elements*” into `$inflight->as_string()` so that's ok.
- Perhaps we should set the system-wide limit to a reasonably large value, rather than leaving it zero (meaning unlimited):

```
our $as_string_limit = 40;
```

- Concern: a lazy list might be finite, or infinite. Given an infinite list `$inflight`, we have a fundamental problem: `$inflight->len`, `$inflight->rev` and `$inflight->append($second_list)` will never terminate. This can't be solved - it's inevitable!
- Fortunately, we have already engineered the concept of “*show only the first N elements*” into `$inflight->as_string()` so that's ok.
- Perhaps we should set the system-wide limit to a reasonably large value, rather than leaving it zero (meaning unlimited):

```
our $as_string_limit = 40;
```

- Having modified and syntax checked `List.pm`, check that it still works with lists with no promises - i.e. non lazy lists (**eg18**):

```
use List;
$List::as_string_limit = 8;

# list_upto: return a non-lazy list of numbers between $min and $max
fun list_upto( $min, $max )
{
    return List->nil() if $min > $max;
    return List->cons( $min, list_upto($min+1, $max) );
}

my $list = list_upto( 100, 200 );
print "first few elements of upto(100,200) List: $list\n";
```

- Then, give it a proper lazy list (**eg19**) by adding a `fun {}` (or `sub {}` on older Perls) coderef wrapper on the `list_upto($min+1,$max)` call:

```
return List->cons( $min, fun { list_upto($min+1, $max) } );
```

- Without this, it was a conventional recursive function to generate a list. By *delaying the recursive call* until it's actually needed, we make it lazy.
- In this case, despite producing identical output, the lazy version never computes or stores elements 108..200.

- Then, give it a proper lazy list (**eg19**) by adding a `fun {}` (or `sub {}` on older Perls) coderef wrapper on the `list_upto($min+1,$max)` call:

```
return List->cons( $min, fun { list_upto($min+1, $max) } );
```

- Without this, it was a conventional recursive function to generate a list. By *delaying the recursive call* until it's actually needed, we make it lazy.
- In this case, despite producing identical output, the lazy version never computes or stores elements 108..200.
- We can easily define *map-like* and *grep-like* operators taking and delivering lists. Here's `map_l($op, $list)`:

```
return List->nil() if $list->isnil;  
my( $h, $t ) = $list->headtail;  
local $_ = $h; # set localised $_ for op  
return List->cons( $op->($h), fun { map_l( $op, $t ); } );
```

- Note that we've not made this a method, as we prefer to keep the map-like syntax rather than swap the arguments around in order to have the list (object) as the first argument. Instead we've given it a non clashing name and exported it.

- `grep_l($op, $list)` is:

```

while( ! $list->isnil )
{
  my( $h, $t ) = $list->headtail;
  local $_ = $h;                                # set localised copy of $_
  if( $op->($h) )                                # for the filter operation call
  {
    return List->cons( $h, fun { grep_l( $op, $t ) } );
  }
  $list = $t;
}
return List->nil;

```

- Using `map_l($op, $list)` and `grep_l($op, $list)`, we can write rather pretty mathematical-style code. For example, start with an infinite list of odd numbers (eg20):

```

use List;
$List::as_string_limit = 8;

# $list = stepup( $n, $step ) - return an infinite list n, n+step, n+2*step...
fun stepup( $n, $step )
{
  return List->cons( $n, fun { stepup($n+$step,$step); } );
}

my $odds = stepup( 1, 2 );
print "first few odds: $odds\n";

```

- Which produces:

```
first few odds: [1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19...]
```

- Then generate an infinite list of even numbers by:

```
my $evens = map_l {$_ + 1} $odds;  
print "first few evens: $evens\n";
```

Unsurprisingly, this produces:

```
first few evens: [2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20...]
```

- Then select only even numbers greater than 7:

```
my $evengt7 = grep_l {$_ > 7} $evens;
```

Which produces:

```
first few even gt7: [8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26...]
```


- Which produces:

```
first few odds: [1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19...]
```

- Then generate an infinite list of even numbers by:

```
my $evens = map_1 {$_ + 1} $odds;  
print "first few evens: $evens\n";
```

Unsurprisingly, this produces:

```
first few evens: [2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20...]
```

- Then select only even numbers greater than 7:

```
my $eventgt7 = grep_1 {$_ > 7} $evens;
```

Which produces:

```
first few even gt7: [8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26...]
```

- Finally, we can select the subset that are exact squares:

```
my $squares = grep_1 { my $r = int(sqrt($_)); $r*$r == $_ } $eventgt7;
```

Which produces:

```
first few even perfect squares > 7: [16,36,64,100,144,196,256,324,400,484...]
```

- Which produces:

```
first few odds: [1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19...]
```

- Then generate an infinite list of even numbers by:

```
my $evens = map_l {$_ + 1} $odds;
print "first few evens: $evens\n";
```

Unsurprisingly, this produces:

```
first few evens: [2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20...]
```

- Then select only even numbers greater than 7:

```
my $eventgt7 = grep_l {$_ > 7} $evens;
```

Which produces:

```
first few even gt7: [8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26...]
```

- Finally, we can select the subset that are exact squares:

```
my $squares = grep_l { my $r = int(sqrt($_)); $r*$r == $_ } $eventgt7;
```

Which produces:

```
first few even perfect squares > 7: [16,36,64,100,144,196,256,324,400,484...]
```

- Of course, this sequence of calls could be written as (**eg20a**):

```
my $evensgt7 = stepup( 8, 2 );
my $squares = grep_l { my $r = int(sqrt($_)); $r*$r == $_ } $evensgt7;
```

- We can even provide a `merge_l($cmp, $list1, $list2)` list operator to merge two sorted lists using a sort-like comparator, and using it (**eg21**):

```
my $odds = stepup( 1, 2 );  
my $evens = stepup( 2, 2 );  
my $all = merge_l { $a <=> $b } $odds, $evens;
```

What do you get it by merging odd and even integers? All integers!

- We can even provide a `merge_l($cmp, $list1, $list2)` list operator to merge two sorted lists using a sort-like comparator, and using it (eg21):

```
my $odds = stepup( 1, 2 );
my $evens = stepup( 2, 2 );
my $all = merge_l { $a <=> $b } $odds, $evens;
```

What do you get it by merging odd and even integers? All integers!

- A better example might be (eg22):

```
# $list = power( $n, $p ) - return an infinite list n, n*p, n*p^2..
fun power( $n, $p )
{
    return List->cons( $n, fun { power($n*$p,$p); } );
}

my $twos = power( 1, 2 );           # powers of 2
my $threes = power( 1, 3 );        # powers of 3
my $fives = power( 1, 5 );         # powers of 5

my $m23 = merge_l { $a <=> $b } $twos, $threes;
my $m235 = merge_l { $a <=> $b } $m23, $fives;
my $all = grep_l { $_ > 1 } $m235;
print "first few merged values: $all\n";
```

- Here's a use for **currying** the comparator into `merge_l` (eg22a):

```
my $merge_numeric = curry( \&merge_l, sub { $a <=> $b } );
my $m235 = $merge_numeric->( $merge_numeric->( $twos, $threes ), $fives );
my $all = grep_l { $_ > 1 } $m235;
```