Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

Duncan C. White (d.white@imperial.ac.uk)

Dept of Computing, Imperial College London

January 2014

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

tures On Demand Finding Unique Elements

- While writing a single function, you often write code that computes a single answer. Sometimes you can transform this code by building a data structure enabling you to lookup all answers of that kind.
- For example, given an unsorted array of numbers:

```
@array = ( 17, 5, 3, 17, 2, 5, 7, 6, 6, 10, 3 );
```

• Consider finding unique values from such an array. We might write the following naive code (eg1):

```
# build @uniq, an array of all unique elements of @array
my @uniq;
foreach my $i (0..$#array)
                                       # foreach index i in @array
        # count how many elements array[j] (i!=j) are the same as array[i]
        mv $count = 0:
        foreach my $j (0..$#array)
                $count++ if $i != $j && $array[$i] == $array[$j];
       # unique if $count == 0
        push @uniq, $array[$i] if $count == 0;
```

• This is very C-style code! index based, unclear, 13 lines long, could harbour bugs. Worse still, it's $O(N^2)$.

The main topic for today is data structures on demand, by means of **program transformations** that guarantee to preserve correctness:

- In languages such as Haskell, data structures are very easy to use (lists and tuples built-in) and define (recursive data types).
- In languages like C, building data structures seems hard (which is why you should build a toolkit), so you tend to only build data structures for the macro-scale.
- In Perl, data structures are even easier to use than in Haskell so simple that building optimal data structures - and changing them when you change your mind - becomes a useful programming technique on scales right down to a single function.
- I call this the **Agile Data Structures** approach.

We'll also talk briefly about testing, benchmarking and profiling, and then wrap up the course.

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

tures On Demand Program Transformations

• Our first transformation is to notice that we can eliminate the \$i != \$j test, and compare the count with one not zero (eg2):

```
# build @uniq, an array of all unique elements of @array
my @uniq;
foreach my $i (0..$#arrav)
                                        # foreach index i in @array
        # how many elements array[j] are the same as array[i] (inclusive)
        my scount = 0;
        foreach my $j (0.. $#array)
                $count++ if $array[$i] == $array[$j];
        # unique if $count == 1 (array[i] itself)
        push @uniq, $array[$i] if $count == 1;
```

• Next, notice that we no longer use indices i and j separately from array[i] and array[i], so we can now loop over the values (eg3):

```
# build @uniq, an array of all unique elements of @array
my @uniq;
foreach mv $x (@arrav)
        # how many elements y are the same as x (including x)?
        my $count = 0;
        foreach my $y (@array)
                $count++ if $x == $v:
        # unique if $count == 1 (x itself)
       push @uniq, $x if $count == 1;
```

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

• Our next transformation is to notice that the inner loop can be replaced with a call to grep (eg4). Recall that grep constructs a list, and assigning that list to a scalar \$count delivers the number of elements in the list:

```
# build @uniq, an array of all unique elements of @array
my @uniq;
foreach my $x (@array)
        # how many elements are the same as x (including x)?
        my $count = grep { $_ == $x } @array;
        # unique if $count == 1 (x itself)
        push @uniq, $x if $count == 1;
```

- All the above transformations have improved the clarity of the code, we're much more confident that this is correct now. However, still $O(N^2)$ - because grep (and map) count as O(N).
- But now we make a simple observation: Over the course of the foreach loop, we calculate the frequency of every array element.
- So why not pre-calculate the element frequencies ahead of time? This suggests a new data structure: my %freq;

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

array element -> frequency of that element

• Now, suppose we actually wanted an array of the distinct non-unique values instead. Non-unique values (ignoring distinct) are easy, simply change freq == 1 to freq > 1: my %freq; map { \$freq{\$_}++ } @array;

```
my @nonuniq = grep { $freq{$_}} > 1 } @array;
```

- However, this includes each non-unique element many times.
- For example, if @array = (1,1,1,2,2) then @nonuniq = (1,1,1,2,2) whereas distinct suggests that we wanted @nonuniq = (1,2).
- To remove duplicates from @nonuniq, we can use a standard turn it into a set and extract the kevs idiom:

```
my %set = map { $_ => 1 } @nonunig;
Ononuniq = keys %set;
```

- Recall that keys %set delivers the keys in an unpredictable order. We could say sort keys %set, but our code would become O(NlogN).
- An O(N) alternative that delivers the distinct values in the order they were present in the original array - is to replace the set of all items in the array with a set of all items seen so far (eg7):

```
my %freq; map { $freq{$_}++ } @array; # build element -> frequency hash
my %seen;
                                       # what elements have we already seen?
my @nonuniq =
                                       # build distinct non-unique elements
        grep { $freq{$_}} > 1 && ! $seen{$_}++ } @array;
```

To populate %freq we write:

```
my %freq;
foreach my $x (@array)
 $freq{$x}++;
```

Once we have %freq our code is:

```
foreach my $x (@array)
 push @uniq, $x if $freq{$x} == 1;
```

- Bringing this all together, this gives eg5, which is clearly O(N)!
- Next, the "free building code may be more idiomatically written: my %freq; map { \$freq{\$_}++ } @array; # build array element -> frequency of that element

```
(or $freq{$_}++ for @array which many prefer.)
```

- Finally, we notice that the main loop is another grep: my @uniq = grep { \$freq{\$_}} == 1 } @array; # build @uniq, all unique elements of @array
- These two lines are the heart of our final clear simple O(N)version **eg6**. Compare this to our original 13 line $O(N^2)$ **eg1**!
- Of course, we had to allocate a modest extra amount of space for the frequency hash. But it's definitely worth it!

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

res On Demand Changing the Data Structure

- Finally, after building and using %freq, suppose we realised that other parts of the program need to locate all the positions in the original array @array at which a specific value appeared.
- We need a different temporary data structure:

```
mv %indexlist:
                     # array element -> list of positions in original array
```

• Recall that the array contains:

```
@array = ( 17, 5, 3, 17, 2, 5, 7, 6, 6, 10, 3 );
```

Our desired %indexlist comprises:

```
17 \Rightarrow [0, 3],
                          7 => [6],
6 => [7, 8],
                          3 => [2, 10],
5 => [1, 5],
```

To build %indexlist we might write naive code (eg8):

```
# initialize all 'inner' array refs to [], maybe several times each
foreach my $value (@array)
 $indexlist{$value} = [];
# can now freely push positions onto @{$indexlist{$value}}
foreach my $index (0..$#array)
 mv $value = $arrav[$index]:
 my $aref = $indexlist{$value};
 push @$aref, $index;
```

• In fact, the first loop is not needed because Perl auto-vivifies array and hash references when needed, as this snippet shows:

```
@$ref = (1.2.3):
print "@$ref\n";
```

So that gives us:

```
# push positions onto @{$indexlist{$value}} freely
foreach my $index (0..$#array)
 my $value = $array[$index];
 my $aref = $indexlist{$value};
 push @$aref, $index;
```

• \$value is only used once, fold it in:

```
foreach my $index (0..$#array)
 my $aref = $indexlist{$array[$index]};
 push @$aref, $index;
```

• Writing the foreach loop as a procedural map, we end up with the following more idiomatic version:

```
mv %indexlist:
map { my $aref = $indexlist{$array[$_]}; push @$aref, $_ } 0..$#array;
```

• If you're happy to push it one stage further, fold saref in too:

```
mv %indexlist:
map { push @{$indexlist{$array[$_]}}, $_ } 0..$#array;
```

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

tures On Demand Redundancy and Minimalism

• Please note that this technique isn't only appropriate on the small scale - let's scale it up. We said that we were working inside functions, let's make that explicit now:

```
# @uniq = unique_values( @array ):
       Deliver all non-repeated values from @array
        in the SAME ORDER they were present in @array
fun unique_values( @array )
        my %freq; map { $freq{$_}++ } @array;
                                                # array element -> frequency
        my @uniq = grep { $freq{$_}} == 1 } @array; # @uniq, unique elements
        return @uniq;
# @nonuniq = distinct_nonunique_values( @array ):
       Deliver all repeated (non-unique) values from @array
        once each (i.e. distinct), in the SAME ORDER as they
        were first found in @array
fun distinct_nonunique_values( @array )
        my %freq; map { $freq{$_}++ } @array; # array element -> frequency
        my %seen;
                                                # elements we've already seen
        my @nonuniq = grep
                                                # distinct non-unique elements
               { $freq{$_}} > 1 && ! $seen{$_}++ } @array;
        return @nonunig;
}
```

- Now, given that $freq\{v\} = 0{\sin\{v\}}, ie. v's frequency is the$ length of \$v's position list, do we need to keep %freq?
- A minimalist would remove %freq, to avoid redundancy. Our uniqueness detector would then be:

```
my @uniq = grep { @{$indexlist{$_}}} == 1 } @array;
```

• Personally, I'd keep both - and build them together (eg9):

```
my( %indexlist, %freq );
map { $freq{$array[$_]}++; push @{$indexlist{$array[$_]}}, $_; } 0..$#array;
```

- Let's pause for a moment and take stock of what we've done:
 - In a series of very small example programs (each < 20 lines long)...
 - We've shown how to gradually transform low level algorithmic code, into shorter, clearer, more obviously correct code...
 - Using temporary data structures (scaffolding) and higher-order functions such as grep and map...
 - To make the original problem much easier to solve..
 - Sometimes even making the code faster and more efficient.
- This is a sufficiently rare combination of good characteristics that it's worth celebrating, noting that it's only possible because Perl makes building optimal data structures so simple.

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

res On Demand Redundancy and Minimalism

• Plus a bonus function (and a test case, giving eg10):

```
# @distinct = distinct_values( @array ):
        Deliver all distinct values from @array,
        in the SAME ORDER as first found in @array.
fun distinct_values( @array
                                                     # elements already seen
        my @distinct = grep { ! $seen{$_}++ } @array; # distinct elements
        return @distinct;
}
```

- In reality, there'd be many more such functions, some building and using %indexlist instead of, or as well as, %freq.
- Although there's nothing wrong with building %freq and friends independently each time we need them, we might wonder whether we should break such code out:

```
# %freq = build_freq_hash( @array ):
        Build a frequency hash of the elements of @array, i.e. a hash
        mapping each element (key) to the frequency of that element in @array,
fun build_freq_hash( @array )
        my %freq; map { $freq{$_}++ } @array; # array element -> frequency
        return %freq;
```

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

- Now replace that code fragment in other functions with calls: my %freq = build_freq_hash(@array);
- Having build_freq_hash() available as a separate function opens up the possibility of prolonging the lifetime of %freq. Perhaps someone will call both unique_values() and distinct_nonunique_values() with the same array, so why calculate %freq twice?
- Perhaps the caller should do the following:

```
my %freq = build_freq_hash( @array );
my @uniq = unique_values( \%freq, \@array );
my @nonuniq = distinct_nonuniq_values( \%freq, \@array );
```

• Or, if the order of elements is unimportant, just pass in %freq:

```
my %freq = build_freq_hash( @array );
my @uniq = unique_values( %freq );
my @nonuniq = distinct_nonuniq_values( %freq );
```

• In the latter case, as well as build free hash() above, we'd have:

```
# @uniq = unique_values( %freq ):
       Deliver all non-repeated values from a %freq hash
        in an undetermined order
fun unique_values( %freq )
        my @uniq = grep { $freq{$_}} == 1 } keys %freq;
        return @uniq;
}
```

January 2014

arking and Profiling Perl Testing Perl programs

- Perl has several unit testing modules, the simplest is called Test::Simple, but we'll take a quick look at it's big brother Test::More.
- First of all, the basic concept of testing is that you already know what the correct (expected) answer is!
- Test::More has many test functions, we only need three:
 - plan tests => N: How many tests are there in total?
 - use_ok('module_name'): Can the given module be successfully loaded?
 - is(\$got, \$expected, \$testdescription): Tests that the string \$got (usually generated from a function you wish to test), is the same as the expected string sexpected, printing out the given test description.
- What shall we test? How about our frequency/unique/distinct values functions, turned into a module fregutils.
- A minimum test might first check that we can load the module:

```
use Test. More.
plan tests => 2;
                                        # how many tests?
use_ok( 'frequtils' );
                                        # first test.. load module?
```

• Plus the remaining functions, rewritten to take %freq:

```
# @nonuniq = distinct_nonunique_values( %freq ):
       Deliver all repeated (non-unique) values from %freq
        in an undetermined order
fun distinct nonunique values ( %freq )
        mv %seen:
                                                 # elements we've already seen
                                                 # distinct non-unique elements
        my @nonuniq = grep
               { $freq{$_}} > 1 && ! $seen{$_}++ } keys %freq;
       return @nonuniq;
}
# @distinct = distinct_values( %freq ):
        Deliver all distinct values from %freq
        in an undetermined order
fun distinct values ( %freq )
        return keys %freq;
```

- Adding a test case gives us eg11.
- Note the much simpler distinct_values() implementation now that we don't care about the order - also note how we changed the comments for each function to say "in an undetermined order".

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

arking and Profiling Perl Testing Perl programs

Followed by:

```
# my $str = format_hash( %hash ):
       Format a given hash into a string in a predictable
       order and format. we've chosen comma separated
        key:value pairs, sorted by key
fun format_hash( %hash )
        my @k = sort keys %hash;
        return join( ", ", map { "$_:$hash{$_}" } @k );
my @array = (1,2,1,3);
my sinput = "1,2,1,3";
my $expected = "1:2,2:1,3:1";
my %freq = build_freq_hash( @array );
my $output = format_hash( %freq );
is( $output, $expected.
                                        # second test.. right result?
        "build_freq_hash($input)=$output" );
```

• This forms **eg12**. Running it, we get output:

```
1..2
ok 1 - use frequtils;
ok 2 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1
```

• Let's check that the test framework is working, by adding \$output .= ",6:1" just before the is...

• As expected, now we get something scarier:

```
ok 1 - use fregutils:
not ok 2 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1,6,1
# Failed test 'build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1,6,1'
   at ./eg12 line 36.
          got: '1:2,2:1,3:1,6,1'
     expected: '1:2,2:1,3:1'
# Looks like you failed 1 test of 2.
```

 Scaling this up to more tests of build_freq_hash(), we need to generalise how tests are represented:

```
my @freqtests = (
                               # formatted strings ("input output" pairs)
        "1
                 1:1",
        112
                 2:1",
       "1.2
             1:1,2:1",
       "1,2,1 1:2,2:1".
       "1,2,1,2 1:2,2:2",
       "1,2,1,3 1:2,2:1,3:1",
);
plan tests => 1 + @freqtests; # how many tests?
use_ok( 'frequtils' );
                              # first test.. load module?
```

 Need to write new code to parse the strings, split the CSV input array apart, call build_freq_hash(), and check the results as before:

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

Testing, Benchmarking and Profiling Perl Testing Perl programs

• Next, we extend the parser to extract the 3rd field, and support a special syntax '_' for when the output is blank:

```
foreach my $teststr (@tests)
       my( type, input, expected) = split( type, teststr, 3);
       $expected = '' if $expected eq "_";
       my @array = split(/,/, $input);
       # to be continued
```

• Now, we must choose what action to take based on \$type. Let's use coderefs and data-driven programming:

```
my %testtype = ( # type -> [coderef, funcname]
       'freq' => [ \&wrap_freq,
                                 'build_freq_hash'],
       'uniq' => [ \&wrap_uniq,
                                  'unique_values'],
        'dnu' => [ \&wrap_nonuniq, 'distinct_nonunique_values' ],
       'dist' => [ \&wrap_distinct, 'distinct_values' ],
```

• To use this data structure, we carry on in the foreach my \$teststr (@tests) body (from # to be continued):

```
# to be continued
my( $testfunc, $funcname ) = @{$testtype{$type}};
my $output = $testfunc->(@array);
is( $output, $expected, "$funcname($input)=$output" );
```

This is simply (eg13):

```
foreach my $teststr (@freqtests)
        my( \$input, \$expected ) = split( /\s+/, \$teststr, 2 );
       my @array = split(/,/, $input);
       my %freq = build_freq_hash( @array );
       my $output = format_hash( %freq );
       is( $output, $expected, "build_freq_hash($input)=$output" );
```

• Running it, we get output:

```
ok 1 - use frequtils;
ok 2 - build_freq_hash(1)=1:1
ok 3 - build_freq_hash(2)=2:1
ok 4 - build_freq_hash(1,2)=1:1,2:1
ok 5 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1)=1:2,2:1
ok 6 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,2)=1:2,2:2
ok 7 - build_freq_hash(1,2,1,3)=1:2,2:1,3:1
```

• Suppose we wish to generalise further: allow each test to specify which function to test, via a 3rd field:

```
my @tests = (
                              # formatted strings ("type input output")
                     1:1", # build_freq_hash() tests
       "freq 1
       "freq 1,2,1,3 1:2,2:1,3:1",
        "dist 1,2,1,3 1,2,3", # distinct_values() tests
       "uniq 1,2,1,3 2,3", # unique_values() tests
       "dnu 1
                              # distinct_nonunique_values() tests
       "dnu 1,2,1,2 1,2",
);
```

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

Benchmarking and Profiling Perl Testing Perl programs

• This only leaves the definitions of the four wrap functions. Here's

```
wrap_freq():
# $str = wrap_freq( @array ):
       call build_freq_hash( @array ) and then build
       and return a predictable (sorted) representation
       of the result to compare against, as a string
fun wrap_freq( @array )
       my %freq = build_freq_hash(@array);
       return format_hash( %freq );
```

- The other 3 are left for you to find in the example tarball.
- This is **eg14** run it, we get output:

```
ok 1 - use frequtils;
ok 4 - build_freq_hash(1,2)=1:1,2:1
ok 13 - distinct_values(1,2,1,3)=1,2,3
ok 19 - unique_values(1,2,1,3)=2,3
ok 23 - distinct_nonunique_values(1,2,1)=1
```

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014 19 / 24

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014 20 / 24

- Perl has a module called Benchmark, with a partially OO interface and a procedural interface.
- A Benchmark->new object returns the current time, use it as (eg15):

```
use Benchmark;
my $t0 = Benchmark->new;
                                               # start
# ... put vour code here ...
my x = 100; for (my i=0; i<100000000; i++) { x++; }
my $t1 = Benchmark->new;
                                               # stop
my $ts = timestr( timediff($t1, $t0) );
print "the code took: $ts\n":
```

• Given several alternative algorithms whose efficiency you want to compare, use the procedural interface (eg16) to run and report:

```
use Benchmark qw(:all);
my $duration = shift @ARGV || 4;
timethese( -$duration,
                               # run for at least duration CPU seconds
        x++ => sub { my $x = 100; $x++ },
        'x+=1' => sub { my $x = 100; $x += 1 },
});
```

• There's another example (eg17) using a different benchmark function, \$benchmark_object = countit(\$time, \$coderef), to do more flexible benchmarking. Left for you to investigate.

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

What haven't we mentioned?

- Perl features such as:
 - typeglobs manipulating symbol tables.
 - Autoloading defining a subroutine AUTOLOAD which handles missing subroutines!
 - Compile time vs run time distinctions. BEGIN and END blocks.
 - Writing Perl code on the fly via eval.
 - Perl one-liners.
- Using the Perl debugger (perldoc perldebug and perldoc perldebtut).
- Perl and graphics building GUIs using Tk or Gtk, visualizing directed graphs via GraphViz and it's friends, constructing image files via ^{GD} (useful for CGI programs generating dynamic images).
- Parser generators using Perl especially the awesome yacc-like module Parse::RecDescent.
- Perl threads semaphores, thread queues etc.
- Interfacing external C libraries into Perl via xs or Inline::C, embedding a Perl interpreter in other programs, eg. Apache and mod_perl. Plus lots lots more.... Perl 6, Parrot..

 Perl has several profiling modules, most obviously one called Devel::DProf. Run your program (eg17 let's say) with: perl -d:DProf eg17

- Your program will run a bit slower than usual, then when it finishes, you'll find the tmon.out file, containing the profiling data.
- Now run the dprof post-processor, dprofpp tmon.out. This will produce a table of where time was spent:

```
Total Elapsed Time = 7.974714 Seconds
 User+System Time = 7.864714 Seconds
Exclusive Times
%Time ExclSec CumulS #Calls sec/call Csec/c Name
48.9 3.853 3.853 74888 0.0001 0.0001 main::On2_uniq
48.5 3.817 3.817 465357 0.0000 0.0000 main::On_uniq
7.03 0.553 0.600 266829 0.0000 0.0000 Benchmark::new
      0.266 8.635
                   140 0.0019 0.0617 Benchmark::runloop
1.27 0.100 7.769 540385 0.0000 0.0000 Benchmark::__ANON__
0.60  0.047  0.047  266829  0.0000  0.0000  Benchmark::mytime
```

- Note that you wouldn't normally profile a Benchmark run..
- Once you know the hotspots, you can consider selectively optimizing them. As in any language, repeated profiling and optimization passes can give dramatic speedups.

Introduction to Perl: Eighth Lecture

January 2014

rapup Finding More Information about Per

- Checkout the Extra Notes document on my website, contains material that didn't fit in the main lectures. New this year: a slide on Moose, an alternative OO system for Perl.
- O'Reilly's site http://www.perl.com/ (The Perl Resource) is a wonderful source of Perl information, containing links to a multitude of Perl information.
- Our old friend CPAN, found at http://www.cpan.org/.
- The wonderful *Perl Journal* at http://tpj.com/ which started out as a quarterly paper journal and recently changed to a monthly e-zine in PDF format, still on subscription.
- The Perl Directory at http://www.perl.org/ is a directory of links to other Perl information and news.
- The Perl Monks at http://www.perlmonks.org/ is a forum-based discussion site for all matters Perlish.
- That's all folks! Enjoy your Perl programming and remember the Perl motto: There's More Than One Way To Do It!
- And they're all really good fun!