## **Tutorial 3: Texture Mapping**

This tutorial concerns texture mapping onto polygons. We will consider the case of a terminal in which individual pixels can be set to any intensity in the range  $[0..I_{max}]$ .

Suppose that the following function is used to define a texture:

 $I = I_{max}(\alpha + \beta)/2$ 

I is the intensity that the pixel of a quadrilateral, corresponding to position  $[\alpha,\beta]$  in texture space, will be set to.

The quadrilateral is projected onto the screen, and its four corners appear at coordinates: P1=[5,5], P2=[15,30], P3=[50,50] and P4=[40,10]. Assuming that P1 corresponds to  $\alpha$ =0, $\beta$ =0, P2 to  $\alpha$ =0, $\beta$ =1, P3 to  $\alpha$ =1, $\beta$ =1 and P4 to  $\alpha$ =1, $\beta$ =0, calculate the corresponding [ $\alpha$ , $\beta$ ] and hence the intensity to be applied to the point Pt=[30,30], using the bi-linear interpolation method given in the lectures. (ie solve for [ $\alpha$ , $\beta$ ] using p= $\alpha\beta$ (c-b) +  $\alpha$ a +  $\beta$ b where p=Pt-P1, a=P4-P1, b= P2-P1 and c=P3-P4)

Computing every pixel by using bi-linear interpolation is very time consuming, since the solution of a quadratic is required. By doing the calculations differentially, much computation time can be saved.

Consider the line from **P1** to **P2**, since at **P1**  $\beta$ =0 and at **P2**  $\beta$ =1, and there are 26 pixels on the line, the differential change in  $\beta$  from one pixel to the next is 1/25. Thus, we can find the values of  $\beta$  at each pixel simply by adding the differential as we move from one pixel to its neighbour.

What are the differentials in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for the four lines bounding the quadrilateral?

Now consider the horizontal line through the point [30,30]. Using the differential method above, find the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  at the points where it intersects the sides of the quadrilateral, and hence find the differentials in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  along the line. Use these values to compute  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  at the point [30,30] and check your result with the first part. Can you suggest why the two methods do not give exactly the same result?

Notice that with the differential method, calculating  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for most of the pixels in the quadrilateral requires only two additions, and hence is much faster.