

# Program Analysis (470)

## Control Flow Analysis

Herbert Wiklicky  
herbert@doc.ic.ac.uk

Department of Computing  
Imperial College London

Spring 2015

# Control Flow Analysis

- Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function **F**.
- WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.
- Not so trivial for more general languages e.g imperative languages with procedures as parameters, functional languages or object-oriented languages.
- A special analysis is required: **Control Flow Analysis**

# Control Flow Analysis

- Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function **F**.
- WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.
- Not so trivial for more general languages e.g imperative languages with procedures as parameters, functional languages or object-oriented languages.
- A special analysis is required: **Control Flow Analysis**

# Control Flow Analysis

- Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function **F**.
- WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.
- Not so trivial for more general languages e.g imperative languages with procedures as parameters, functional languages or object-oriented languages.
- A special analysis is required: **Control Flow Analysis**

# Control Flow Analysis

- Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function **F**.
- WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.
- Not so trivial for more general languages e.g imperative languages with procedures as parameters, functional languages or object-oriented languages.
- A special analysis is required: **Control Flow Analysis**

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$



# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

$(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$   
 $(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$   
 $(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x.x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$   
 $(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in$  **Term**  $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in$  **Var** variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x.N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x.M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x.x)z &\longrightarrow_{\beta} z \\(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y) &\longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)\end{aligned}$$



# Syntax of Fun

$e \in \mathbf{Exp}$     **expressions** (or labelled terms)  
 $t \in \mathbf{Term}$     **terms** (or unlabelled expressions)

$e ::= t^l$

$t ::= c \mid x \mid \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \mid e_1 e_2$   
           $\mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid e_1 \text{ op } e_2$   
           $\mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$

# Syntax of Fun

$e \in \mathbf{Exp}$     **expressions** (or labelled terms)  
 $t \in \mathbf{Term}$     **terms** (or unlabelled expressions)

$e ::= t^l$

$t ::= c \mid x \mid \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \mid e_1 e_2$   
           $\mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid e_1 \text{ op } e_2$   
           $\mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$

# Syntax of Fun

$e \in \mathbf{Exp}$     **expressions** (or labelled terms)  
 $t \in \mathbf{Term}$     **terms** (or unlabelled expressions)

$e ::= t^l$

$t ::= c \mid x \mid \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \mid e_1 e_2$   
       $\mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid e_1 \text{ op } e_2$   
       $\mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$

# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

```
(f g) + (f h)  → ((fn x => x 1) g) + ((fn x => x 1) h)  
                → (g 1) + (h 1)  
                → ((fn y => y + 2) 1) + (fn z => z + 3) 1)  
                → (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)  
                → 7
```

# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

```
(f g) + (f h)  → ((fn x => x 1) g) + ((fn x => x 1) h)  
                → (g 1) + (h 1)  
                → ((fn y => y + 2) 1) + (fn z => z + 3) 1)  
                → (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)  
                → 7
```

# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

```
(f g) + (f h)  →  ((fn x => x 1) g) + ((fn x => x 1) h)  
                →  (g 1) + (h 1)  
                →  ((fn y => y + 2) 1) + (fn z => z + 3) 1)  
                →  (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)  
                →  7
```

# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$(f\ g) + (f\ h) \longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ h)$   
 $\longrightarrow (g\ 1) + (h\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2)\ 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3)\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)$   
 $\longrightarrow 7$

# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$(f\ g) + (f\ h) \longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ h)$   
 $\longrightarrow (g\ 1) + (h\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2)\ 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3)\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)$   
 $\longrightarrow 7$



# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$(f\ g) + (f\ h) \longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ h)$   
 $\longrightarrow (g\ 1) + (h\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2)\ 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3)\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)$   
 $\longrightarrow 7$

# An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$(f\ g) + (f\ h) \longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x\ 1)\ h)$   
 $\longrightarrow (g\ 1) + (h\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2)\ 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3)\ 1)$   
 $\longrightarrow (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)$   
 $\longrightarrow 7$

# Evaluating Fun

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$	=	$\mathbf{Var} \mapsto \mathbf{Value}$	Environments
$v \in \mathbf{Value}$	=	$\mathbf{Constant} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$	Values
$\mathbf{Closure}$	::=	$[(\mathbf{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$	Closures

$$\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$$

iff “ $e$  evaluates to  $v$  in  $\rho$ ”

- $\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$  can also be read as an specification for building an interpreter for the Fun language.
- We will use this specification just as a aid to help us understand the Control Flow Analysis.

# Evaluating Fun

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$	=	$\mathbf{Var} \mapsto \mathbf{Value}$	Environments
$v \in \mathbf{Value}$	=	$\mathbf{Constant} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$	Values
<b>Closure</b>	::=	$[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$	Closures

$$\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$$

iff “ $e$  evaluates to  $v$  in  $\rho$ ”

- $\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$  can also be read as an specification for building an interpreter for the Fun language.
- We will use this specification just as a aid to help us understand the Control Flow Analysis.

# Evaluating Fun

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$	=	$\mathbf{Var} \mapsto \mathbf{Value}$	Environments
$v \in \mathbf{Value}$	=	$\mathbf{Constant} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$	Values
$\mathbf{Closure}$	::=	$[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$	Closures

$$\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$$

iff “ $e$  evaluates to  $v$  in  $\rho$ ”

- $\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$  can also be read as an specification for building an interpreter for the Fun language.
- We will use this specification just as a aid to help us understand the Control Flow Analysis.

# Environment Rules I

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{c}^\ell) = \mathbf{c}$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, x^\ell) = \rho(x)$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) \text{ op } \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = v$$

$$\text{where } v = \begin{cases} \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{true} \\ \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{false} \end{cases}$$

# Environment Rules I

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{c}^\ell) = \mathbf{c}$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{x}^\ell) = \rho(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) \text{ op } \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = v$$

$$\text{where } v = \begin{cases} \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{true} \\ \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{false} \end{cases}$$

# Environment Rules I

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{c}^\ell) = \mathbf{c}$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{x}^\ell) = \rho(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) \text{ op } \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = v$$

$$\text{where } v = \begin{cases} \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{true} \\ \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{false} \end{cases}$$



# Environment Rules I

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{c}^\ell) = \mathbf{c}$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, \mathbf{x}^\ell) = \rho(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) \text{ op } \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \mathbf{v}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{v} = \begin{cases} \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{true} \\ \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) & \text{for } \text{eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{false} \end{cases}$$

# Environment Rules II

$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$  **closure creation**

$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho[x \mapsto v_1], t_2^{\ell_2})$

*where*  $v_1 = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1})$

$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho_0[x \mapsto v_2], e_0)$  **function application**

*where*  $\text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho_0] \wedge$   
 $\text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) = v_2$

# Environment Rules II

$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$  closure creation

$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho[x \mapsto v_1], t_2^{\ell_2})$

*where*  $v_1 = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1})$

$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho_0[x \mapsto v_2], e_0)$  function application

*where*  $\text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho_0] \wedge$   
 $\text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) = v_2$

# Environment Rules II

$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$  **closure creation**

$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho[x \mapsto v_1], t_2^{\ell_2})$

*where*  $v_1 = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1})$

$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho_0[x \mapsto v_2], e_0)$  **function application**

*where*  $\text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho_0] \wedge$   
 $\text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) = v_2$

# CFA and Functional Programs

Consider the following `Fun` program:

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
      g = fn y => y + 2;  
      h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

The aim of **Control Flow Analysis** is:

*For each function application, which functions may be applied*

# CFA and Functional Programs

Consider the following `Fun` program:

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
      g = fn y => y + 2;  
      h = fn z => z + 3  
in  (f g) + (f h)
```

The aim of **Control Flow Analysis** is:

*For each function application, which functions may be applied*

- **Control Flow Analysis**
  - Abstract Domains and Specification
  - Constraint Generation
  - Constraint Solving Algorithm
- Control and Data Flow Analysis
- Context-Sensitive Analysis Concepts

- Control Flow Analysis
  - Abstract Domains and Specification
  - Constraint Generation
  - Constraint Solving Algorithm
- Control and Data Flow Analysis
- Context-Sensitive Analysis Concepts



- Control Flow Analysis
  - Abstract Domains and Specification
  - Constraint Generation
  - Constraint Solving Algorithm
- Control and Data Flow Analysis
- Context-Sensitive Analysis Concepts

- Control Flow Analysis
  - Abstract Domains and Specification
  - Constraint Generation
  - Constraint Solving Algorithm
- Control and Data Flow Analysis
- Context-Sensitive Analysis Concepts

# 0-CFA Analysis

We will define a **0-CFA Analysis**; the presentation requires two components:

- Abstract Domains
- Specification of the Analysis

The result of a 0-CFA analysis is a pair  $(\hat{C}, \hat{\rho})$  where:

- $\hat{C}$  is the **abstract cache** associating abstract values with each labelled program point.
- $\hat{\rho}$  is the **abstract environment** associating abstract values with each variable.

# 0-CFA Analysis

We will define a **0-CFA Analysis**; the presentation requires two components:

- Abstract Domains
- Specification of the Analysis

The result of a 0-CFA analysis is a pair  $(\hat{C}, \hat{\rho})$  where:

- $\hat{C}$  is the **abstract cache** associating abstract values with each labelled program point.
- $\hat{\rho}$  is the **abstract environment** associating abstract values with each variable.

# Abstract Domains

$\hat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term})$  abstract values

$\hat{\rho} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Env}} = \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract environments

$\hat{C} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Cache}} = \mathbf{Lab} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract caches

An **abstract value**  $\hat{v}$  is a set of terms of the form:

$\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$

# Abstract Domains

$\hat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term})$  abstract values

$\hat{\rho} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Env}} = \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract environments

$\hat{C} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Cache}} = \mathbf{Lab} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract caches

An **abstract value**  $\hat{v}$  is a set of terms of the form:

$$\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$$

# Acceptable CFA

For the formulation of the **0-CFA** analysis we shall write

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models e$$

for when  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho})$  is an acceptable Control Flow Analysis of the expression  $e$ . Thus the relation “ $\models$ ” has functionality

$$\models : (\widehat{\mathbf{Cache}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{Env}} \times \mathbf{Exp}) \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$$

Our **Goal** therefore is:

If a sub-expression  $t^\ell$  evaluates to a function (closure), then the function must be “predicted” by  $\widehat{C}(\ell)$

# Acceptable CFA

For the formulation of the **0-CFA** analysis we shall write

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models e$$

for when  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho})$  is an acceptable Control Flow Analysis of the expression  $e$ . Thus the relation “ $\models$ ” has functionality

$$\models : (\widehat{\mathbf{Cache}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{Env}} \times \mathbf{Exp}) \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$$

Our **Goal** therefore is:

If a sub-expression  $t^\ell$  evaluates to a function (closure), then the function must be “predicted” by  $\widehat{C}(\ell)$



# CFA: Example

$$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$$

	$(\widehat{C}_e, \widehat{\rho}_e)$	$(\widehat{C}'_e, \widehat{\rho}'_e)$	$(\widehat{C}''_e, \widehat{\rho}''_e)$
1	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
2	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
3	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
4	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
5	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
x	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
y	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$

# Specification: Rules I

$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s c^\ell$  always

$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s x^\ell$  iff  $\widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$

$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$   
iff  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge$   
 $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$   
 $\widehat{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$

$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$   
iff  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$   
 $\widehat{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$

# Specification: Rules I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s \mathbf{c}^\ell \text{ always}$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s \mathbf{x}^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge \\ (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{let } \mathbf{x} = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

# Specification: Rules I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s \mathbf{c}^\ell \text{ always}$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s \mathbf{x}^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge \\ (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{let } \mathbf{x} = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

# Specification: Rules I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s \mathbf{c}^\ell \text{ always}$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s \mathbf{x}^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge \\ (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{let } \mathbf{x} = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

## Specification: Rules II

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{C}(\ell_1) : \\ \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ \widehat{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

## Specification: Rules II

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

## Specification: Rules II

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$



# Constraint Generation

To implement the specification, we must generate a set of constraints from a given program.  $\mathcal{C}_\star[[e_\star]]$  is a set of **constraints** and **conditional constraints** of the form

$$lhs \subseteq rhs$$

$$\{t\} \subseteq rhs' \Rightarrow lhs \subseteq rhs$$

where  $rhs$  is of the form  $C(\ell)$  or  $r(x)$ , and  $lhs$  is of the form  $C(\ell)$ ,  $r(x)$ , or  $\{t\}$ , and all occurrences of  $t$  are of the form  $\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$ .

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$C_*[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq C(\ell)\} \cup C_*[e_0]$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \text{ iff } & (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ & (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ & \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}C_*[(t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell] \\ = C_*[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup C_*[t_2^{\ell_2}] \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq C(\ell_1) \Rightarrow C(\ell_2) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\} \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq C(\ell_1) \Rightarrow C(\ell_0) \subseteq C(\ell) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\}\end{aligned}$$

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_*[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \cup \mathcal{C}_*[e_0]$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \text{ iff } & (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ & (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ & \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_*[(t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell] \\ = \mathcal{C}_*[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_*[t_2^{\ell_2}] \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\} \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\}\end{aligned}$$

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_*[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \cup \mathcal{C}_*[e_0]$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \text{ iff } & (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ & (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ & \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_*[(t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell] \\ = \mathcal{C}_*[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_*[t_2^{\ell_2}] \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\} \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\}\end{aligned}$$

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[e_0]$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \text{ iff } & (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ & (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ & \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\star[(t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell] \\ = \mathcal{C}_\star[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[t_2^{\ell_2}] \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_\star\} \\ \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_\star\}\end{aligned}$$

## Constraint-Based CFA II

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[\mathbf{c}^\ell] = \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[\mathbf{x}^\ell] = \{\mathbf{r}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\star[\text{(if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell] &= \mathcal{C}_\star[t_0^{\ell_0}] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[t_2^{\ell_2}] \\ &\quad \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\star[\text{(let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell] &= \mathcal{C}_\star[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[t_2^{\ell_2}] \\ &\quad \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \mathbf{r}(x)\} \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[\text{(} t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2} \text{)}^\ell] = \mathcal{C}_\star[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[t_2^{\ell_2}]$$

# Constraint Generation: Example I

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5 \rrbracket = \\ \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 \rrbracket \cup \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4 \rrbracket \\ \cup \{ \{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(4) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_\star \} \\ \cup \{ \{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(5) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_\star \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 \rrbracket = \\ \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \} \cup \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket x^1 \rrbracket = \\ \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \} \cup \{ r(x) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(1) \} = \\ \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2), r(x) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(1) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4 \rrbracket = \{ \{ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(4) \} \cup \mathcal{C}_\star \llbracket y^3 \rrbracket = \\ \{ \{ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(4), r(y) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(3) \} \end{aligned}$$

## Constraint Generation: Example II

$$\{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(4) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\}$$

$$= \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(4) \subseteq r(x), \\ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(4) \subseteq r(y) \}$$

$$\{\{t\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(5) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\}$$

$$= \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(5), \\ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(3) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(5) \}$$



## Constraint Generation: Example III

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_* \llbracket ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5 \rrbracket = \\ \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2), \\ r(x) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(1), \\ \{ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(4), \\ r(y) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(3), \\ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(4) \subseteq r(x), \\ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(5), \\ \{ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(4) \subseteq r(y), \\ \{ \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(3) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(5) \} \end{aligned}$$

# Constraint Solving

To solve the constraints, we use a graph-based formulation.

The algorithm uses the following main **data structures**:

- a **worklist**  $W$ , i.e. a list of nodes whose outgoing edges should be traversed;
- a **data array**  $D$  that for each node gives an element of  $\widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_*$ ;  
and
- an **edge array**  $E$  that for each node gives a list of constraints from which a list of the successor nodes can be computed.

# Constraints Graph

The graph will have nodes  $C(\ell)$  and  $r(x)$  for  $\ell \in \mathbf{Lab}_*$  and  $x \in \mathbf{Var}_*$ . Associated with each node  $p$  we have a data field  $D[p]$  that initially is given by:

$$D[p] = \{t \mid (\{t\} \subseteq p) \in \mathcal{C}_*[[e_*]]\}$$

The graph will have edges for a subset of the constraints in  $\mathcal{C}_*[[e_*]]$ ; each edge will be decorated with the constraint that gives rise to it:

- a constraint  $p_1 \subseteq p_2$  gives rise to an edge from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ ,  
and
- a constraint  $\{t\} \subseteq p \Rightarrow p_1 \subseteq p_2$  gives rise to an edge from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and an edge from  $p$  to  $p_2$ .

# Algorithm I

INPUT:  $\mathcal{C}_*[\mathbf{e}_*]$

OUTPUT:  $(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \hat{\rho})$

METHOD: **Step 1: Initialisation**

W := nil;

for  $q$  in Nodes do  $D[q] := \emptyset$ ;

for  $q$  in Nodes do  $E[q] := \text{nil}$ ;

# Algorithm II

## Step 2: Building the graph

for  $cc$  in  $\mathcal{C}_*[[e_*]]$  do

  case  $cc$  of

$\{t\} \subseteq p$ :  $\text{add}(p, \{t\})$ ;

$p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :  $E[p_1] := \text{cons}(cc, E[p_1])$ ;

$\{t\} \subseteq p \Rightarrow p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :

$E[p_1] := \text{cons}(cc, E[p_1])$ ;

$E[p] := \text{cons}(cc, E[p])$ ;

# Algorithm III

## Step 3: Iteration

```
while  $W \neq \text{nil}$  do
   $q := \text{head}(W)$ ;  $W := \text{tail}(W)$ ;
  for  $cc$  in  $E[q]$  do
    case  $cc$  of
       $p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :  $\text{add}(p_2, D[p_1])$ ;
       $\{t\} \subseteq p \Rightarrow p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :
        if  $t \in D[p]$  then  $\text{add}(p_2, D[p_1])$ ;
```

# Algorithm IV

## Step 4: Recording the solution

for  $\ell$  in  $\mathbf{Lab}_*$  do  $\widehat{C}(\ell) := D[C(\ell)];$   
for  $x$  in  $\mathbf{Var}_*$  do  $\widehat{\rho}(x) := D[r(x)];$

USING: procedure  $\text{add}(q,d)$  is  
if  $\neg (d \subseteq D[q])$   
then  $D[q] := D[q] \cup d;$   
 $W := \text{cons}(q,W);$

# Example I

$p$	$D[p]$	$E[p]$
$C(1)$	$\emptyset$	$[id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(1) \subseteq C(5)]$
$C(2)$	$id_x$	$[id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(3) \subseteq C(5), id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(y), id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(1) \subseteq C(5), id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x)]$
$C(3)$	$\emptyset$	$[id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(3) \subseteq C(5)]$
$C(4)$	$id_y$	$[id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(y), id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x)]$
$C(5)$	$\emptyset$	$[ ]$
$r(x)$	$\emptyset$	$[r(x) \subseteq C(1)]$
$r(y)$	$\emptyset$	$[r(y) \subseteq C(3)]$



# Example II

W	[C(4),C(2)]	[r(x),C(2)]	[C(1),C(2)]	[C(5),C(2)]	[C(2)]	[ ]
C(1)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$
C(2)	$id_x$	$id_x$	$id_x$	$id_x$	$id_x$	$id_x$
C(3)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
C(4)	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$
C(5)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$
r(x)	$\emptyset$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$	$id_y$
r(y)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

# Control Flow + Data Flow

Let **Data** be a set of *abstract data values* (i.e. abstract properties of booleans and arithmetic constants)

$$\widehat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term} \cup \mathbf{Data}) \quad \text{abstract values}$$

For each constant  $c \in \mathbf{Const}$  we need an element  $d_c \in \mathbf{Data}$   
Similarly, for each operator  $op \in \mathbf{Op}$  we need a total function

$$\widehat{op} : \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \times \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d$$

Typically,  $\widehat{op}$  will have a definition of the form:

$$\widehat{v}_1 \widehat{op} \widehat{v}_2 = \bigcup \{d_{op}(d_1, d_2) \mid d_1 \in \widehat{v}_1 \cap \mathbf{Data}, d_2 \in \widehat{v}_2 \cap \mathbf{Data}\}$$

for some function  $d_{op} : \mathbf{Data} \times \mathbf{Data} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Data})$

## Control Flow + Data Flow

Let **Data** be a set of *abstract data values* (i.e. abstract properties of booleans and arithmetic constants)

$$\widehat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term} \cup \mathbf{Data}) \quad \text{abstract values}$$

For each constant  $c \in \mathbf{Const}$  we need an element  $d_c \in \mathbf{Data}$   
Similarly, for each operator  $op \in \mathbf{Op}$  we need a total function

$$\widehat{op} : \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \times \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d$$

Typically,  $\widehat{op}$  will have a definition of the form:

$$\widehat{v}_1 \widehat{op} \widehat{v}_2 = \bigcup \{d_{op}(d_1, d_2) \mid d_1 \in \widehat{v}_1 \cap \mathbf{Data}, d_2 \in \widehat{v}_2 \cap \mathbf{Data}\}$$

for some function  $d_{op} : \mathbf{Data} \times \mathbf{Data} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Data})$

## Control Flow + Data Flow

Let **Data** be a set of *abstract data values* (i.e. abstract properties of booleans and arithmetic constants)

$$\widehat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term} \cup \mathbf{Data}) \quad \text{abstract values}$$

For each constant  $c \in \mathbf{Const}$  we need an element  $d_c \in \mathbf{Data}$   
Similarly, for each operator  $op \in \mathbf{Op}$  we need a total function

$$\widehat{op} : \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \times \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d$$

Typically,  $\widehat{op}$  will have a definition of the form:

$$\widehat{v}_1 \widehat{op} \widehat{v}_2 = \bigcup \{d_{op}(d_1, d_2) \mid d_1 \in \widehat{v}_1 \cap \mathbf{Data}, d_2 \in \widehat{v}_2 \cap \mathbf{Data}\}$$

for some function  $d_{op} : \mathbf{Data} \times \mathbf{Data} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Data})$

# Detection of Sign

$$\mathbf{Data}_{\text{sign}} = \{\text{tt}, \text{ff}, -, 0, +\}$$

$$d_{\text{true}} = \text{tt} \quad d_7 = +$$

$\hat{+}$  is defined from:

$d_+$	tt	ff	-	0	+
tt	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
ff	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
-	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-\}$	$\{-\}$	$\{-, 0, +\}$
0	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{+\}$
+	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-, 0, +\}$	$\{+\}$	$\{+\}$

# Detection of Sign

$$\mathbf{Data}_{\text{sign}} = \{\text{tt}, \text{ff}, -, 0, +\}$$

$$d_{\text{true}} = \text{tt} \quad d_7 = +$$

$\hat{+}$  is defined from:

$d_+$	tt	ff	-	0	+
tt	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
ff	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
-	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-\}$	$\{-\}$	$\{-, 0, +\}$
0	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{+\}$
+	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-, 0, +\}$	$\{+\}$	$\{+\}$

# Abstract Values I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}_0)^\ell \text{ iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d \mathbf{e}_0$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$$

$$(\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) :$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge$$

$$(d_{\text{true}} \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \Rightarrow ((\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))) \wedge$$

$$(d_{\text{false}} \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \Rightarrow ((\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)))$$

# Abstract Values I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}_0)^\ell \text{ iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d \mathbf{e}_0$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$$

$$(\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) :$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge$$

$$(d_{\text{true}} \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \Rightarrow ((\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))) \wedge$$

$$(d_{\text{false}} \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \Rightarrow ((\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)))$$



# Abstract Values II

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d c^\ell \text{ iff } \{d_c\} \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$$

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d x^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{C}(\ell_1) \widehat{\text{op}} \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

## Abstract Values II

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d c^\ell \text{ iff } \{d_c\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d x^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \widehat{\text{op}} \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

# Abstract Values II

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d c^\ell \text{ iff } \{d_c\} \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$$

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d x^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ \widehat{C}(\ell_1) \widehat{\text{op}} \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5  
           else (fn z => 256)7)8)9  
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the `else`-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

When we combine the analysis with a Detection of Signs Analysis then the analysis can determine that only `fn y => y4` is a possible abstraction at label `12`.

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                else (fn z => 256)7)8)9
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

C(1)	$\emptyset$
C(2)	$\emptyset$
C(3)	$\emptyset$
C(4)	$\emptyset$
C(5)	$\text{id}_y$
C(6)	$\emptyset$
C(7)	$\text{c}_{25}$

C(8)	$\{\text{id}_y, \text{c}_{25}\}$
C(9)	$\{\text{fn } x \dots\}^8$
C(10)	$\{\text{fn } x \dots\}^8$
C(11)	$\emptyset$
C(12)	$\{\text{id}_y, \text{c}_{25}\}$
C(13)	$\emptyset$

C(14)	$\emptyset$
C(15)	$\emptyset$
r(f)	$\{\text{fn } x \dots\}^8$
r(x)	$\emptyset$
r(y)	$\emptyset$
r(z)	$\emptyset$

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the `else`-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

When we combine the analysis with a Detection of Signs Analysis then the analysis can determine that only `fn y => y4` is a possible abstraction at label **12**.

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                else (fn z => 256)7)8)9
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

C(1)	{+}
C(2)	{0}
C(3)	{tt}
C(4)	{0}
C(5)	id <sub>y</sub>
C(6)	∅
C(7)	C <sub>25</sub>

C(8)	{id <sub>y</sub> }
C(9)	{fn x ... } <sup>8</sup>
C(10)	{fn x ... } <sup>8</sup>
C(11)	{+}
C(12)	{id <sub>y</sub> }
C(13)	{0}

C(14)	{0}
C(15)	{0}
r(f)	{fn x ... } <sup>8</sup>
r(x)	{+}
r(y)	{0}
r(z)	∅

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the `else`-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

When we combine the analysis with a Detection of Signs Analysis then the analysis can determine that only `fn y => y4` is a possible abstraction at label **12**.

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5  
           else (fn z => 256)7)8)9  
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the `else`-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

When we combine the analysis with a Detection of Signs Analysis then the analysis can determine that only `fn y => y4` is a possible abstraction at label `12`.

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                else (fn z => 256)7)8)9
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the `else`-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

When we combine the analysis with a Detection of Signs Analysis then the analysis can determine that only `fn y => y4` is a possible abstraction at label **12**.



# Context-Sensitive CFA

The Control Flow Analyses presented so far are imprecise in that they cannot distinguish the various instances of function calls from one another. In the terminology of Data Flow Analysis the 0-CFA analysis is **context-insensitive** and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis it is **monovariant**.

To get a more precise analysis it is useful to introduce a mechanism that distinguishes different dynamic instances of variables and labels from one another. This results in a **context-sensitive** analysis and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis the term **polyvariant** is used.

# Context-Sensitive CFA

The Control Flow Analyses presented so far are imprecise in that they cannot distinguish the various instances of function calls from one another. In the terminology of Data Flow Analysis the 0-CFA analysis is **context-insensitive** and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis it is **monovariant**.

To get a more precise analysis it is useful to introduce a mechanism that distinguishes different dynamic instances of variables and labels from one another. This results in a **context-sensitive** analysis and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis the term **polyvariant** is used.

## Example: Context

Consider the expression:

```
(let  f = (fn x=> x1)2
 in   ((f3 f4)5 (fn y=> y6)7)8)9
```

The least 0-CFA analysis is given by  $(\widehat{C}_{id}, \widehat{\rho}_{id})$ :

# 0-CFA Solutions

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{C}_{id}(1) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} & \widehat{C}_{id}(2) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \\ \widehat{C}_{id}(3) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} & \widehat{C}_{id}(4) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \\ \widehat{C}_{id}(5) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} & \widehat{C}_{id}(6) &= \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} \\ \widehat{C}_{id}(7) &= \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} \\ \widehat{C}_{id}(8) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} \\ \widehat{C}_{id}(9) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} \\ \widehat{\rho}_{id}(f) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \\ \widehat{\rho}_{id}(x) &= \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\} \\ \widehat{\rho}_{id}(y) &= \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}\end{aligned}$$

# Expansion

Expand the program into

```
let  f1 = (fn x1 => x1)  
in   let f2 = (fn x2 => x2)  
      in (f1 f2) (fn y => y)
```

and then analyse the expanded expression: the 0-CFA analysis is now able to deduce that  $x_1$  can only be bound to  $\text{fn } x_2 \Rightarrow x_2$  and that  $x_2$  can only be bound to  $\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y$  so the overall expression will evaluate to  $\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y$  only.

## Further CFA Analyses

A more satisfactory solution to the problem is to extend the analysis with **context information** allowing it to distinguish between the various instances of variables and program points and still analyse the original expression.

Examples of such analyses include  $k$ -CFA analyses, uniform  $k$ -CFA analyses, polynomial  $k$ -CFA analyses (mainly of interest for  $k > 0$ ) and the Cartesian Product Algorithm.