

Reasoning about Programs

Jeremy Bradley, Francesca Toni and Xiang Feng

Room 372. Office hour - Tuesdays at noon. Email: jb@doc.ic.ac.uk

Department of Computing, Imperial College London

Produced with prosper and L^AT_EX

Induction [01/2005] - p.1/23

Loops

- ↻ In imperative languages:
 - ↻ common loop blocks include: `while`, `for`, `repeat/until`
 - ↻ all can be expressed as `while` loops
- ↻ A `while` loop:

```
i = 2;
while ( i > 0 ) {
    somemethod(P);
    --i;
}
```

Induction [01/2005] - p.2/23

Anatomy of a loop

```
i = 2; // setup code
while ( i > 0 ) { // loop condition
    somemethod(P);
    --i; // counter dec/increment
}
```

- ↻ A loop typically consist of:
 - ↻ setup code
 - ↻ a looping condition which must be true for the loop to execute
 - ↻ optionally a counter operation

Induction [01/2005] - p.3/23

Loops invariants and Loop variants

- ↻ **loop invariant**
 - ↻ is a mid-condition embedded in a loop
- ↻ **loop variant**
 - ↻ is a modeller-supplied quantity that decreases at each iteration of the loop
 - ↻ it never becomes negative
 - ↻ loop variant = 0 should coincide with termination of loop

Induction [01/2005] - p.4/23

Reasoning about Loops

- ↻ A loop invariant is specified before a loop block and usually expresses the cumulative affect of the loop on the method variables after i completed iterations
- ↻ A loop variant is often (but doesn't have to be) expressed in terms of the loop variable
 - ↻ for a `for` loop where i varies from $1 \rightarrow n$, a loop variant would be $n - i$
 - ↻ existence of a loop variant ensures loop termination

Induction [01/2005] – p.5/23

Adding up an array

```
static int sumArray (int a[]) {
    int i;
    int res = 0;
    // Loop invariant: 0 <= i < a.length
    //   && res = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a[j]
    for (i = 0; i < a.length; ++i) {
        // Loop variant: a.length - i
        res = res + a[i];
    }
    return res;
}
```

- ↻ Invariant is a good place to check array bounds will not be violated

Induction [01/2005] – p.6/23

General Reasoning about Loops

1. Is loop invariant established at beginning of loop?
2. Is invariant re-established?
 - ↻ i.e. does invariant on k th iteration \rightarrow invariant on $k + 1$ th iteration
3. Does loop terminate?
 - ↻ i.e. does loop variant decrease on each iteration and does it have a minimum value
4. Finally, does loop termination and invariant \rightarrow post-condition?

Induction [01/2005] – p.7/23

Transform into while loop...

```
static int sumArray (int a[]) {
    // Pre: none
    // Post: res = \sum_{j=0}^{a.length-1} a[j]
    [1] int i=0;
    [2] int res = 0;
    [L] while ( i < a.length ) {
        // Loop invariant: 0 <= i_k < a.length
        //   && res_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k - 1} a[j]
        res = res + a[i];
        ++i;
    }
    return res;
}
```

Induction [01/2005] – p.8/23

Invariant: base case

- Need to show invariant true the first time that it is executed
- This is a standard mid-condition argument
 - Pre-condition \vdash first loop invariant
 - i.e. in this case:

$$\vdash \left(0 \leq i_0 < a.length \wedge res_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} a[j] \right)$$

Induction [01/2005] – p.9/23

Invariant: base case

- $i_0 = 0$ code[1] \mathcal{I}
- $res_0 = 0$ code[2] \mathcal{I}
- $i_0 < a.length$ code[L] \mathcal{I}
- $i_0 = 0 \vee i_0 > 0$ $\forall \mathcal{I}(1)$
- $0 \leq i_0$ $\leq \text{def}(4)$
- $0 \leq i_0 < a.length$ $\wedge \mathcal{I}(3, 5)$
- $res_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} a[j]$ $\sum \text{def}(2)$
- $0 \leq i_0 < a.length \wedge res_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} a[j]$ $\wedge \mathcal{I}(6, 7)$

Induction [01/2005] – p.10/23

Transform into while loop...

```
static int sumArray (int a[]) {
    // Pre: none
    // Post: res = \sum_{j=0}^{a.length-1} a[j]
    int i=0;
    int res = 0;
[L] while ( i < a.length ) {
    // Loop invariant: 0 <= i_k < a.length
    //   && res_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k - 1} a[j]
[1]     res = res + a[i];
[2]     ++i;
    }
    return res;
}
```

Induction [01/2005] – p.11/23

sumArray: Re-establishing invariant

- Trying to show that:

$$0 \leq i_k < a.length \wedge res_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} a[j]$$

$$\vdash 0 \leq i_{k+1} < a.length \wedge res_{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_{k+1}-1} a[j]$$

- where var_k in a loop invariant context means the value of the variable after the k th loop iteration
- To show this: need to take into account both code and loop condition

Induction [01/2005] – p.12/23

sumArray: Re-establishing invariant

1. $0 \leq i_k < a.length \wedge res_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} a[j]$ giv
2. $0 \leq i_k < a.length$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(1)$
3. $res_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} a[j]$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(1)$
4. $res_{k+1} = res_k + a[i_k]$ code[1] \mathcal{I}
5. $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$ code[2] \mathcal{I}
6. $i_{k+1} < a.length$ code[L] \mathcal{I}
7. $1 \leq i_{k+1}$ =subs(5, 2)
8. $0 \leq i_{k+1}$ $\leq trans(7)$
9. $0 \leq i_{k+1} < a.length$ $\wedge \mathcal{I}(8, 6)$
10. $res_{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} a[j] + a[i_k]$ =subs(3, 4)
11. $res_{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k} a[j]$ $\sum def(10)$
12. $res_{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_{k+1}-1} a[j]$ =subs(5, 11)
13. $0 \leq i_{k+1} < a.length \wedge res_{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_{k+1}-1} a[j]$ $\wedge \mathcal{I}(9, 12)$

Induction [01/2005] – p.13/23

Re-establishing invariant

1. Prove loop invariant holds on entry to loop (i.e. base case)
2. Assume loop invariant holds on k th iteration:

$$\text{invariant}(k) \wedge \text{code}(k) \wedge \text{loop condition} \\ \rightarrow \text{invariant}(k+1)$$

3. (c.f. induction step $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$)

Induction [01/2005] – p.14/23

Find an element in Array

```
int find (int a[], int x) {
    int res, i;
    for (i=0; a[i] != x && i < a.length; ++i) {}
    res=i;
    return res;
}
```

- What post-condition do we need:
 - $a[res] = x$?
 - $0 \leq res < a.length \wedge a[res] = x$?
- ...and if we want to say it finds the first matching element in the array?

Induction [01/2005] – p.15/23

Find an element in Array

```
int find (int a[], int x) {
    // Pre: there exists j. 0 <= j < a.length
    //      && a[j] = x
    // Post: <next slide>
[1] int i=0;
[2] int res=0;
[L] while ((i < a.length) && (a[i] != x)) {
        // Invariant: <next slides>
[3]     ++i;
        }
[4] res = i;
    return res;
}
```

- Pre-condition: $\exists j. 0 \leq j < a.length \wedge a[j] = x$

Induction [01/2005] – p.16/23

Post-condition for `find`

- Post-condition:
 - $a = a_0$:keep array unchanged
 - $\wedge 0 \leq res < a.length$:keep within array bounds
 - $\wedge a[res] = x$:res is correct index
 - $\wedge (0 \leq j < res) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$
:no elements before res matched
- So how can we use this to design an invariant?

Induction [01/2005] – p.17/23

Invariant design

- Use post-condition to help generate invariant
- Take into account any lines of code that are executed between invariant and post-condition
- For `find` use loop invariant:
 - $a = a_0$:keep array unchanged
 - $\wedge 0 \leq i_k < a.length$:keep within array bounds
 - $\wedge (0 \leq j \leq i_k) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$
:no elements before i_k matched

Induction [01/2005] – p.18/23

`find`: Invariant base case

- Need to establish invariant with: pre-condition
 \vdash first loop invariant

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. $\exists j. 0 \leq j < a.length \wedge a[j] = x$ | giv |
| 2. $a = a_0$ | var \mathcal{I} |
| 3. $i_0 = 0$ | code[1] \mathcal{I} |
| 4. $i_0 = 0 \vee i_0 > 0$ | $\vee \mathcal{I}(3)$ |
| 5. $i_0 \geq 0$ | $\geq \text{def}(4)$ |
| 6. $res_0 = 0$ | code[2] \mathcal{I} |
| 7. $a[i_0] \neq x \wedge i_0 < a.length$ | code[L] \mathcal{I} |
| 8. $i_0 < a.length$ | $\wedge \mathcal{E}(5)$ |
| 9. $0 \leq i_0 < a.length$ | $\wedge \mathcal{I}(5, 8)$ |

Induction [01/2005] – p.19/23

`find`: Invariant base case

- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| 10. $a[i_0] \neq x$ | $\wedge \mathcal{E}(5)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">11. $0 \leq j \leq i_0$</td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: right;">ass</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12. $0 \leq j \leq 0$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">$= \text{subs}(3, 11)$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13. $j = 0$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">$= \text{def}(12)$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14. $a[0] \neq x$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">$= \text{subs}(3, 10)$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15. $a[j] \neq x$</td> <td style="text-align: right;">$= \text{subs}(13, 14)$</td> </tr> </table> | | 11. $0 \leq j \leq i_0$ | ass | 12. $0 \leq j \leq 0$ | $= \text{subs}(3, 11)$ | 13. $j = 0$ | $= \text{def}(12)$ | 14. $a[0] \neq x$ | $= \text{subs}(3, 10)$ | 15. $a[j] \neq x$ | $= \text{subs}(13, 14)$ |
| 11. $0 \leq j \leq i_0$ | ass | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. $0 \leq j \leq 0$ | $= \text{subs}(3, 11)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. $j = 0$ | $= \text{def}(12)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. $a[0] \neq x$ | $= \text{subs}(3, 10)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. $a[j] \neq x$ | $= \text{subs}(13, 14)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. $(0 \leq j \leq i_0) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$ | $\rightarrow \mathcal{I}(11, 15)$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. $a = a_0 \wedge 0 \leq i_0 < a.length$
$\wedge (0 \leq j \leq i_0) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$ | $\wedge \mathcal{I}(2, 9, 16)$ | | | | | | | | | | |

Induction [01/2005] – p.20/23

find: Re-establishing invariant

- To re-establish invariant for `find`, we need to show that k th iteration invariant $\vdash (k + 1)$ th iteration invariant

$$\Rightarrow a = a_0$$

$$\wedge 0 \leq i_k < a.length$$

$$\wedge (0 \leq j \leq i_k) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$$

$$\vdash a = a_0$$

$$\wedge 0 \leq i_{k+1} < a.length$$

$$\wedge (0 \leq j \leq i_{k+1}) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$$

find: Re-establishing invariant

- $a = a_0 \wedge 0 \leq i_k < a.length$
 $\wedge (0 \leq j \leq i_k) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$ giv
- $a = a_0$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(1)$
- $0 \leq i_k < a.length$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(1)$
- $0 \leq i_k$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(3)$
- $(0 \leq j \leq i_k) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(1)$
- $i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$ code[3] \mathcal{I}
- $a[i_{k+1}] \neq x \wedge i_{k+1} < a.length$ code[L] \mathcal{I}
- $a[i_{k+1}] \neq x$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(6)$
- $i_{k+1} < a.length$ $\wedge \mathcal{E}(6)$
- $1 \leq i_{k+1}$ =subs(6, 4)
- $0 \leq i_{k+1}$ \leq trans(10)
- $0 \leq i_{k+1} < a.length$ $\wedge \mathcal{I}(11, 9)$

find: Re-establishing invariant

13. $0 \leq j \leq i_{k+1}$		ass
14. $0 \leq j \leq i_k$	ass	16. $j = i_{k+1}$ ass
15. $a[j] \neq x$	$\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(5)$	17. $a[j] \neq x$ =subs(8, 16)
18. $a[j] \neq x$		$\vee \mathcal{E}(13, 14, 15, 16, 17)$
19. $(0 \leq j \leq i_{k+1}) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$		$\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(13, 18)$
20. $a = a_0 \wedge 0 \leq i_{k+1} < a.length$		
$\wedge (0 \leq j \leq i_{k+1}) \rightarrow a[j] \neq x$		$\wedge \mathcal{I}(2, 12, 19)$