

## C240 Computability and Complexity Tutorial 6

Questions 1,2,5 use the machine  $M[w]$ , EDIT, and the Universal Turing Machine, U; all are assumed to be standard; see sections 4.3 and 5.3 of the notes.

1. Let R be a standard Turing machine that reverses its input. So, for example,

$$f_R(abc) = cba. \text{ Evaluate:}$$

$$\text{a) } f_R(\text{alucard}) \quad \text{b) } f_{R|Lee}(\text{Cushing}); \quad \text{c) } f_{R|marb}(\square)$$

2. Let S be any standard Turing machine, and let  $v, w$  be any words of C.

$$\text{Check that : } f_U(f_{EDIT}(\text{code}(S)*v)*w) = f_S(v).$$

**3. Uniform Halting problem** (the problem of whether a Turing machine halts on every input). Show by reduction that there is no Turing machine UH such that for all standard Turing machines S,

$$f_{UH}(\text{code}(S)) = y \quad \text{if } S \text{ halts and succeeds on every input}$$

$$n \quad \text{otherwise}$$

[Adapt the argument for the empty input halting problem].

**4. Inverse problem.** Show by reduction that there is no Turing machine INV such that for all

standard Turing machines  $S_1, S_2$  and all words  $w$  of C:

$$f_{INV}(\text{code}(S_1)*\text{code}(S_2)*w) = \text{da} \quad \text{if } f_{S_2}(f_{S_1}(w)) = w$$

$$\text{nyet} \quad \text{otherwise}$$

That is, on input  $w$ ,  $S_2$  inverts the effect of  $S_1$ . Note that  $f_{S_2}(f_{S_1}(w))$  is undefined if  $f_{S_1}(w)$  is undefined, so  $f_{INV}(\text{code}(S_1)*\text{code}(S_2)*w) = \text{nyet}$  in this case.

[you might try to transform an instance  $\text{code}(S)*w$  of HP into  $\text{code}(S)*\text{code}(W)*w$  for some suitable Turing machine W.]

5. ['Recursion Theorem', Stephen Kleene, 1938]. The Turing machine ADIT is similar to EDIT (see 5.3), but, on input  $\text{code}(M)*w$ , it outputs  $\text{code}(M\{w\})$ .  $M\{w\}$  is a standard TM similar to  $M[w]$ , but on input  $x$ , it writes  $w^*$  in front of  $x$  (shifting  $x$  right to make room), then runs  $M$ . So  $f_{M\{w\}}(x) = f_M(w*x)$ . Let S be any standard TM and consider the TM G, below:

G is assumed standard by scratch character elimination. On input  $\text{code}(M)*w$ , G splits off  $\text{code}(M)$ , duplicates it, and runs ADIT then S; G then tacks on  $w$  to the output and runs U. So  $f_G(\text{code}(M)w) = f_U(f_S(f_{ADIT}(f_{Duplicator}(\text{code}(M))))*w)$ .

- Let  $K=G\{\text{code}(G)\}$ . Check that for any word  $w$  of C, we have  $f_K(w) = f_U(f_S(\text{code}(K))*w)$ .
- Deduce that, given any algorithm to modify TMs (ie their codes) in any way whatever, there is always some TM whose input/output function is unchanged by the modification.