

Functional Dependencies

P.J. McBrien

Imperial College London

What is wrong with this schema?

bank_data									
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	<u>mid</u>	amount	tdate
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1007	345.56	1999-01-15
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18

What is wrong with this schema?

bank_data									
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	<u>mid</u>	amount	tdate
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1007	345.56	1999-01-15
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18

```
SELECT cash
FROM bank_data
WHERE sortcode=67
```

cash
34005.00
34005.00
34005.00
34005.00
34005.00

What is wrong with this schema?

bank_data										
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	<u>mid</u>	amount	tdate	
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05	
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05	
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08	
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11	
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12	
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15	
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1007	345.56	1999-01-15	
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15	
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18	

```
SELECT DISTINCT cash
FROM bank_data
WHERE sortcode=67
```



What is wrong with this schema?

bank_data										
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	<u>mid</u>	amount	tdate	
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05	
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05	
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08	
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11	
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12	
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15	
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1007	345.56	1999-01-15	
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15	
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18	

```
SELECT DISTINCT rate
FROM bank_data
WHERE account=107
```



Problems with Updates on Redundant Data

```
INSERT INTO bank_data
VALUES (100,67, 'Strand', 33005.00, 'deposit', 'McBrien, P.', null,
       1017, -1000.00, '1999-01-21')
```

```
UPDATE bank_data
SET rate=1.00
WHERE mid=1007
```

bank_data									
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	mid	amount	tdate
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	1.00	1007	345.56	1999-01-15
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18
100	67	Strand	33005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	null	1017	-1000.00	1999-01-21


Problems with Updates on Redundant Data

```
INSERT INTO bank_data
VALUES (100,67,'Strand',33005.00,'deposit','McBrien, P.',null,
       1017,-1000.00,'1999-01-21')
```

```
UPDATE bank_data
SET rate=1.00
WHERE mid=1007
```

bank_data									
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	mid	amount	tdate
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	1.00	1007	345.56	1999-01-15
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18
100	67	Strand	33005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	null	1017	-1000.00	1999-01-21

```
SELECT DISTINCT cash
FROM bank_data
WHERE sortcode=67
```



cash
34005.00
33005.00


Problems with Updates on Redundant Data

```
INSERT INTO bank_data
VALUES (100,67,'Strand',33005.00,'deposit','McBrien, P.',null,
       1017,-1000.00,'1999-01-21')
```

```
UPDATE bank_data
SET rate=1.00
WHERE mid=1007
```

bank_data									
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	mid	amount	tdate
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	1.00	1007	345.56	1999-01-15
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18
100	67	Strand	33005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	null	1017	-1000.00	1999-01-21

```
SELECT DISTINCT rate
FROM bank_data
WHERE account=107
```



rate
null
1.00

How do you know what is redundant?

Functional Dependency

A **functional dependency (fd)** $X \rightarrow Y$ states that if the values of attributes X agree in two tuples, then so must the values in Y .

Using an FD to find a value

If the FD $\text{no} \rightarrow \text{rate}$ holds then x in the table below must always take the value 5.25, but y may take any value.

bank_data		
no	<u>mid</u>	rate
101	1001	5.25
101	1008	x
119	1009	y

Quiz 1: FDs that hold in bank_data

bank_data									
no	sortcode	bname	cash	type	cname	rate?	mid	amount	tdate
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1000	2300.00	1999-01-05
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1001	4000.00	1999-01-05
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1002	-223.45	1999-01-08
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1004	-100.00	1999-01-11
103	34	Goodge St	6900.67	current	Boyd, M.	null	1005	145.50	1999-01-12
100	67	Strand	34005.00	current	McBrien, P.	null	1006	10.23	1999-01-15
107	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	current	Poulovassilis, A.	null	1007	345.56	1999-01-15
101	67	Strand	34005.00	deposit	McBrien, P.	5.25	1008	1230.00	1999-01-15
119	56	Wimbledon	84340.45	deposit	Poulovassilis, A.	5.50	1009	5600.00	1999-01-18

Which set of FDs below do not hold for the data?

A

no \rightarrow rate
no \rightarrow bname

B

no \rightarrow type
bname \rightarrow no

C

no \rightarrow type
mid \rightarrow bname

D

amount \rightarrow rate
bname \rightarrow sortcode

Quiz 2: Deriving FDs from other FDs

sortcode \rightarrow bname

no \rightarrow sortcode

no \rightarrow cname

no \rightarrow rate

mid \rightarrow no

Given the FDs above, which FD below might not hold?

A

no \rightarrow bname

B

no,sortcode \rightarrow cname,sortcode

C

amount,tdate \rightarrow amount

D

amount,tdate \rightarrow mid

Armstrong's Axioms

X, Y and Z are sets of attributes, and XY is a shorthand for $X \cup Y$

Reflexivity

$$Y \subseteq X \models X \rightarrow Y$$

- Such an FD is called a **trivial** FD

Applying reflexivity

If $\text{amount}, \text{tdate}$ are attributes

By reflexivity

$$\text{amount} \subseteq \text{amount}, \text{tdate} \models \text{amount}, \text{tdate} \rightarrow \text{amount}$$

$$\text{tdate} \subseteq \text{amount}, \text{tdate} \models \text{amount}, \text{tdate} \rightarrow \text{tdate}$$

Armstrong's Axioms

X, Y and Z are sets of attributes, and XY is a shorthand for $X \cup Y$

Augmentation

$$X \rightarrow Y \models XZ \rightarrow YZ$$

Applying augmentation

If $no, cname, sortcode$ are attributes and $no \rightarrow cname$

By augmentation

$$no \rightarrow cname \models no, sortcode \rightarrow cname, sortcode$$

Armstrong's Axioms

X, Y and Z are sets of attributes, and XY is a shorthand for $X \cup Y$

Transitivity

$$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow Z$$

Applying transitivity

If $no \rightarrow sortcode$ and $sortcode \rightarrow bname$

By transitivity

$$no \rightarrow sortcode, sortcode \rightarrow bname \models no \rightarrow bname$$

Union Rule

Armstrong's Axioms

Reflexivity: $Y \subseteq X \models X \rightarrow Y$

Augmentation: $X \rightarrow Y \models XZ \rightarrow YZ$

Transitivity: $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow Z$

Union Rule

If $X \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow Z$

By augmentation

$X \rightarrow Y \models XZ \rightarrow YZ$

$X \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow XZ$

By transitivity

$X \rightarrow XZ, XZ \rightarrow YZ \models X \rightarrow YZ$

If $X \rightarrow YZ$

By reflexivity

$YZ \models YZ \rightarrow Y, YZ \rightarrow Z$

By transitivity

$X \rightarrow YZ, YZ \rightarrow Y \models X \rightarrow Y$

$X \rightarrow YZ, YZ \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow Z$

$\therefore X \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow Z \equiv X \rightarrow YZ$

- Note that the union rule means that we can restrict ourselves to FD sets containing just one attribute on the RHS of each FD without losing expressiveness

Quiz 3: Deriving FDs from other FDs

Given a set $S = \{A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow F, E \rightarrow F\}$ of FDs

Which set of FDs below follows from S ?

A

$A \rightarrow BF, A \rightarrow CF, A \rightarrow ABCF$

B

$A \rightarrow BD, A \rightarrow CF, A \rightarrow ABCF$

C

$A \rightarrow BD, A \rightarrow BF, A \rightarrow ABCF$

D

$A \rightarrow BD, A \rightarrow BF, A \rightarrow CF$

Pseudotransitivity Rule

Armstrong's Axioms

Reflexivity: $Y \subseteq X \models X \rightarrow Y$

Augmentation: $X \rightarrow Y \models XZ \rightarrow YZ$

Transitivity: $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow Z$

Pseudotransitivity Rule

If $X \rightarrow Y, WY \rightarrow Z$

By augmentation

$X \rightarrow Y \models WX \rightarrow WY$

By transitivity

$WX \rightarrow WY, WY \rightarrow Z \models WX \rightarrow Z$

$\therefore X \rightarrow Y, WY \rightarrow Z \models WX \rightarrow Z$

Decomposition Rule

Armstrong's Axioms

Reflexivity: $Y \subseteq X \models X \rightarrow Y$

Augmentation: $X \rightarrow Y \models XZ \rightarrow YZ$

Transitivity: $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow Z$

Decomposition Rule

If $X \rightarrow Y, Z \subseteq Y$

By reflexivity

$Z \subseteq Y \models Y \rightarrow Z$

By transitivity

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z \models X \rightarrow Z$

$\therefore X \rightarrow Y, Z \subseteq Y \models X \rightarrow Z$

Super-keys and minimal keys

- If a set of attributes X in relation R functionally determines all the other attributes of R , then X must be a **super-key** of R
- If it is not possible to remove any attribute from X to form X' , and X' functionally determine all attributes, then X is a **minimal key** of R

Determining keys of a relation

Suppose `branch(sortcode, bname, cash)` has the FD set
 $\{\text{sortcode} \rightarrow \text{bname}, \text{bname} \rightarrow \text{sortcode}, \text{bname} \rightarrow \text{cash}\}$

- 1 $\{\text{sortcode}, \text{bname}\}$ is a super-key since $\{\text{sortcode}, \text{bname}\} \rightarrow \text{cash}$
- 2 However, $\{\text{sortcode}, \text{bname}\}$ is not a minimal key, since $\text{sortcode} \rightarrow \{\text{bname}, \text{cash}\}$ and $\text{bname} \rightarrow \{\text{sortcode}, \text{cash}\}$
- 3 `sortcode` and `bname` are both minimal keys of `branch`

Closure of a set of attributes with a set of FDs

Closure X^+ of a set of attributes X with FDs S

- 1 Set $X^+ := X$
- 2 Starting with X^+ apply each FD in S where the RHS is not already in X^+ , to find determined attributes Y
- 3 If Y not empty, $X^+ := X^+ \cup Y$, goto (2)
- 4 Return X^+

Closure of attributes

Relation $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ has FD set $S = \{A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow F, E \rightarrow F\}$

To compute A^+

- Start with $A^+ = A$, just $A \rightarrow BC$ matches, so $Y = BC$
- $A^+ = ABC$, just $C \rightarrow F$ matches, so $Y = F$
- $A^+ = ABCF$, no FDs apply, so we have the result

Closure of a set of attributes with a set of FDs

Closure X^+ of a set of attributes X with FDs S

- 1 Set $X^+ := X$
- 2 Starting with X^+ apply each FD in S where the RHS is not already in X^+ , to find determined attributes Y
- 3 If Y not empty, $X^+ := X^+ \cup Y$, goto (2)
- 4 Return X^+

Closure of a set of attributes

Relation $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ has FD set $S = \{A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow F, E \rightarrow F\}$

To compute AD^+

- Start with $AD^+ = AD$, just $A \rightarrow BC$ matches, so $Y = BC$
- $AD^+ = ABCD$, $CD \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow F$ matches, so $Y = EF$
- $AD^+ = ABCDEF$, no FDs apply, so we have the result

Quiz 4: Closure of Attribute Sets

Given a relation $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$ and FD set
 $S = \{A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D, BA \rightarrow E, BD \rightarrow F, EF \rightarrow B, BE \rightarrow ABC\}$

Which closure of attributes of S does not cover R ?

A
 A^+

B
 BC^+

C
 BE^+

D
 EF^+

Closure of a set of Functional Dependencies

Closure of the FD Set

- The closure S^+ of a set of FDs S is the set of all FDs that can be inferred from S
- Two sets of FDs S, T are equivalent if $S^+ = T^+$
- For speed, we can ignore trivial FDs, and flatten all FDs to have just one attribute in RHS
- Apart from calculating equivalence, do not normally need to compute closure

Equivalent FDs

$$S = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow D\}$$

$$T = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow A\}$$

$$S^+ = T^+ = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow D\}$$

$$\therefore S \equiv T$$

Minimal cover of a set of FDs

Minimal cover S_c of S

A minimal cover S_c of FD set S has the properties that:

- All the FDs in S can be derived from S_c (i.e. $S^+ = S_c^+$)
- It is not possible to form a new set S'_c by deleting an FD from S_c or deleting an attribute from an FD in S_c , and S'_c can still derive all the FDs in S

In general, a set of FDs may have more than one minimal cover

Minimal cover of a set of FDs

Minimal cover S_c of S

A minimal cover S_c of FD set S has the properties that:

- All the FDs in S can be derived from S_c (i.e. $S^+ = S_c^+$)
- It is not possible to form a new set S'_c by deleting an FD from S_c or deleting an attribute from an FD in S_c , and S'_c can still derive all the FDs in S

In general, a set of FDs may have more than one minimal cover

Deriving a minimal cover

Suppose $S = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C\}$

Since $B \rightarrow C$

$BC \rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

Leaves $S' = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C\}$

Minimal cover of a set of FDs

Minimal cover S_c of S

A minimal cover S_c of FD set S has the properties that:

- All the FDs in S can be derived from S_c (i.e. $S^+ = S_c^+$)
- It is not possible to form a new set S'_c by deleting an FD from S_c or deleting an attribute from an FD in S_c , and S'_c can still derive all the FDs in S

In general, a set of FDs may have more than one minimal cover

Deriving a minimal cover

Suppose $S = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C\}$

Since $B \rightarrow C$

$BC \rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

Leaves $S' = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C\}$

Since $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \models A \rightarrow C$

$A \rightarrow C \Rightarrow \emptyset$

Leaves $S_c = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C\}$

Minimal cover of a set of FDs

Minimal cover S_c of S

A minimal cover S_c of FD set S has the properties that:

- All the FDs in S can be derived from S_c (i.e. $S^+ = S_c^+$)
- It is not possible to form a new set S'_c by deleting an FD from S_c or deleting an attribute from an FD in S_c , and S'_c can still derive all the FDs in S

In general, a set of FDs may have more than one minimal cover

Deriving a minimal cover

Suppose $S = \{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C\}$

Since $B \rightarrow C$

$BC \rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

Leaves $S' = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C\}$

Since $B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C \models B \rightarrow C$

$B \rightarrow C \Rightarrow \emptyset$

Leaves $S_c = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow C\}$

Quiz 5: Minimal Cover of a Set of FDs

Given an FD set $S = \{A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D, BA \rightarrow E, BD \rightarrow F, EF \rightarrow B, BE \rightarrow ABC\}$

Which is a minimal cover of S ?

A

$A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D, BA \rightarrow E, BD \rightarrow F, EF \rightarrow B, BE \rightarrow ABC$

B

$A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D, BA \rightarrow E, BD \rightarrow F, EF \rightarrow B, BE \rightarrow A$

C

$A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow E, BD \rightarrow F, EF \rightarrow B, BE \rightarrow A$

D

$A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow F, EF \rightarrow B, BE \rightarrow A$