

Parser and Lexer Generator tools: Yacc and Lex Expression Parsing (01.expr1)

- Whenever you define a little language and want to write a code generator for it, the first step is writing parsers and lexical analysers. This problem has been solved! Lex and Yacc generate C code from declarative definitions of tokens and grammars.
- As a simple example, consider integer constant expressions such as 3*(10+16*(123/3) mod 7). The basic 'tokens' needed are:
 - Numeric constants (eg '123').
 - Various one-character operators (eg. '(', '+', '*', ')' etc).
 - A Haskell-inspired keyword 'mod' (i.e. modulus, '%' in C terms).
- With Lex, specify the tokens as regular expression/action pairs:

[0-9]+	moturn	NUMBER;
	return	NUMBER;
\+	return	PLUS;
-	return	MINUS;
*	return	MUL;
\vee	return	DIV;
mod	return	MOD;
\(return	OPEN;
$\langle \rangle$	return	CLOSE;
[\t\n]+	/* igno	ore whitespace
	return	TOKERR;

• See lexer. I for the full Lex input file, containing the above plus some prelude. This file can be turned into C code via: lex -o lexer.c lexer.l.

*/;

- Last week, we started building our own tools when necessary, at a range of scales from tiny to large.
- Some of our tools there in particular, Datadec were code generators - programs that write programs. Or as the Pragmatic Programmers put it: Write Code that Writes Code (Tip 29).
- Such tools defined some Little Language or Domain Specific Language to make our lives easier, and then translated that into (say) valid C code.
- Today, in the last C Programming Tools lecture, we'll find how to make writing code generators for little languages even easier.
- Specifically, by using Parser and Lexer Generator tools: Yacc and l ex.
- As always, there's a tarball of examples associated with this lecture. The handout and tarballs are available on CATE and at: http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dcw/c-tools-2017/lecture4/

C Programming Tools: Part 4

15th June 2017

Parser and Lexer Generator tools: Yacc and Lex Expression Parsing (01.expr1)

• These tokens can be combined to form expressions using the following BNF-style grammar rules (in Yacc-format): %token PLUS MINUS MUL DIV MOD OPEN CLOSE TOKERR

Vtoken NUMBER

%start here %%	
here	: expr
	;
expr	: expr PLUS term
	expr MINUS term
	term
	;
term	: term MUL factor
	term DIV factor
	term MOD factor
	factor
	;
factor	: NUMBER
	OPEN expr CLOSE

- parser.y contains these rules plus some Yacc-specific prelude, including a short main program that calls the parser. This can be turned into C code (parser.c and parser.h) via: yacc -vd -o parser.c parser.y
- You can now compile and link parser.c and lexer.c to form expr1, just type make. See the Makefile for details. expr1 is a recognizer: it will say whether or not the expression (on standard input) is valid.

C Programming Tools: Part 4

- Directory 02.expr2 extends our recognizer so that it calculates the value of the expression and displays it. There are two sets of changes from the previous version:
- First, we modify one line in lexer.l to store the integer constant value into 'yylval.n':
 - [0-9]+ yylval.n=atoi(yytext); return NUMBER;
- Second, in parser.y there are several changes: add to the prelude: static int expr_result = 0; Then make main display the result after a successful parse:
 - printf("result: %d\n", expr_result);
- Above the token definitions, add: ^{xunion { int n; }}
 - %token <n> NUMBER %type <n> expr term factor
- Add actions to grammar rules taking the calculated value from each sub-part and computing the result, plus a top level action which sets expr_result. Here's a sample:

```
here : expr { expr_result = $1; }
expr : expr PLUS term { $$ = $1 + $3; }
| expr MINUS term { $$ = $1 - $3; }
| term { $$ = $1 - $3; }
term ULL factor { $$ = $1 + $3; }
| term DIV factor { $$ = $1 + $3; }
```

• After make we have expr2, an expression calculator. Play with it.

Duncan White & Evangelos Ververas (Imperial)	C Programming Tools: Part 4	15th June 2017 5 / 15

```
Parser and Lexer Generator tools: Yacc and Lex Expression treebuilder (05.expr5)
```

• Directory 05.expr5 contains our final Yacc/Lex expression example, which replaces calculation with treebuilding (using Datadec). Prepare types.in file:

```
arithop = plus or minus or times or divide or mod;
expr = num( int n )
or id( string s )
or binop( expr 1, arithop op, expr r );
}
```

- Alter the Makefile to invoke datadec generating types.c and types.h. parser.y has several changes: add to the prelude: #include "types.h"
- Change expr_result from an int to an expr: static expr expr_result = NULL;
- main should print out the expression tree (on parse success): print_expr(stdout, expr_result);
- Change the union declaration to: %union { int n; char *s; expr e; }
- Change the type of all expression rules to e, the union's expr:

%type <e> expr term factor

- After make we have expr5, an expression parser and treebuilder.

7 / 15

Parser and Lexer Generator tools: Yacc and Lex Expression calculator with named constants (03.expr3)

- Directory 03.expr3 extends our expression language, allowing a factor to be an identifier - an IDENT token - representing a named constant. There are three sets of changes from the previous version:
- Add a new consthash module, which stores our named constants.
- Add a line in lexer.l to recognise and return our new token: [a-z][a-z0-9]* yylval.s=strdup(yytext);return IDENT;
- parser.y has several changes: add to the prelude: #include "consthash.h" Then main() needs to create the constant hash right at the start, destroy it at the end:

```
init_consthash( argc, argv );
if( yyparse()....
destroy_consthash();
```

- Change the union declaration to: <code>%union { int n; char *s; }</code>
- Declare that the IDENT token has an associated string value:
 - %token <s> IDENT
- Add the new factor rule:

| IDENT { \$\$ = lookup_const(\$1); }

• After make we have expr3, a calculator with named constants. Play with it.

C Programming Tools: Part 4

```
uncan White & Evangelos Ververas (Imperial
```

15th June 2017 6 / 15

Parser and Lexer Generator tools: Yacc and Lex THS: Tiny Haskell Subset: (06.ths-treebuilder)

- Expressions are hardly impressive! But Yacc, Lex and Datadec easily scale to much larger languages.
- Define a tiny Haskell subset called THS, build a Lexer and Parser using Lex and Yacc, build an Abstract Syntax Tree using Datadec, with parse actions to build our AST.
- Ok, what Haskell subset? Specifically, we'll allow:
 - Zero-or-more function definitions, with optional type definitions,
 - Followed by a compulsory integer expression (often a call to one of those functions).
 - Each function takes and returns a single integer value,
 - Each function implemented either by a single expression, or
 - A sequence of guarded expressions involving simple boolean expressions, eg. x==0,
- For example:
 - f x = 1

```
abs x | x>0 = x
| x==0 = 0
| 0>x = 0-x
```

f(20) + abs(10) * 30

• In a break with strict Haskell-syntax, we'll decide that brackets on function calls like abs(10) are compulsory.

- Note in passing that we reuse (and extend) our expression grammar rules - hence any valid expression is also a valid THS program, one with no function definitions.
- Ok, first we define our lexer rules, regexps and actions:

F	
[0-9]+	<pre>yylval.n=atoi(yytext); return NUMBER;</pre>
mod	return MOD;
Int	return INTTYPE;
True	return TRUEV;
[a-z][a-z0-9]*	<pre>yylval.s=strdup(yytext);return IDENT;</pre>
::	return COLONCOLON;
->	return IMPLIES;
==	return EQ;
=	return IS;
>	return GT;
! =	return NE;
\+	return PLUS;
-	return MINUS;
*	return MUL;
\vee	return DIV;
\(return OPEN;
$\langle \rangle$	return CLOSE;
M	return GUARD;
[\t\n]+	<pre>/* ignore whitespace */;</pre>
	return TOKERR;

• Note that we are being extremely minimal with our tokens, including (for example) True but not False. These can trivially be added.

C Programming Tools: Part 4

Duncan White & Evangelos Ververas	(Imperial) C Programming Tools: Part 4	15th June 2017 9 / 15	Duncan White & Evangelos Ververas (Imperial)	C Programming Tools: Part 4	15th June 2017 10 / 15
Parser and Lex	er Generator tools: Yacc and Lex THS: Tiny Haskeli Subset: (06	ths-treebuilder)	Parser and Lexer Generator to	ols: Yacc and Lex THS: Tiny Haskell Subs	iet: (06.ths-treebuilder)
-	ome of the declarations that associate t rules with specific members of the union	okens and :	 Note that recursive guardrules : guardrul 	e rules in Yacc, such as: es guard	
%token <n> NI %token <s> II %type <e> fac %type bez %type <g> guz</g></e></s></n>	DENT ctor term expr kpr		guardlist it's in the r	sive invocation first, hence w everse order. To fix this, we o inction in the prelude.	
Let's look a	at a few grammar rules to give a flavour:		 I've attempted to free 	e() everything I malloc(), ch	ecking with valgrind.
program	: defns expr { prog_result = program_pair(\$1, \$2); }		es a shared pointers subtlety:	
defns	; : /* empty */ { \$\$ = flist_nil(); } defns ftypedefn { \$\$ = \$1; /* ignore type defns */ } defns fdefinition { \$\$ = flist_cons(\$2, \$1); }		guardlist with the sa only free each guard	me heads (guards) as the orig once!	ginal list. We must
ftypedefn type	; : IDENT COLONCOLON type IMPLIES type { free_string(\$1); ; : INTTYPE	; }		o add free_guardlist_without_g above Yacc action to free the	•
	<pre>: IDENT IDENT IS expr { \$\$ = fdefn_onerule(\$1, \$2, \$4); IDENT IDENT guardrules </pre>	; }	0	t_guard() is a copy of the aution, with the free_guard(head	
guardrules	<pre>guardlist rightorder = reverse_guardlist(\$3); \$\$ = fdefn_manyrules(\$1, \$2, rightorder); free_guardlist_without_guard(\$3); }; ; guard { \$\$ = guardlist_cons(\$1, guardlist_n: guardrules guard { \$\$ = guardlist_cons(\$2, \$1); }</pre>	10); }	using datadec and o	, adding named constants (vi ur macro tool from the previc askell subset) parser and tree 0 lines of code.	ous lecture, we end up
	;		Give it a try!		

• As usual, our grammar and (Datadec-generated) AST intertwine, let's start by looking at types.in - our Datadec input file:

```
arithop = plus or minus or times or divide or mod;
         = num(int n)
expr
         or id( string s )
         or call( string s, expr e )
         or binop( expr 1, arithop op, expr r );
boolop
         = eq or ne or gt;
bexpr
        = truev
         or binop( expr 1, boolop op, expr r );
       = pair( bexpr cond, expr e );
guard
guardlist = nil
         or cons( guard hd, guardlist tl );
fdefn
         = onerule( string fname, string param, expr e )
         or manyrules( string fname, string param, guardlist 1 );
flist
         = nil
         or cons( fdefn hd, flist tl );
program = pair( flist l, expr e );
```

• In parser.y, here's our %union declaration, which lists all possible types of data associated with tokens and grammar rules:

%union {				
	int	n;	char	*s;
	expr	e;	bexpr	b;
	guard	g;	guardlist	gl;
	fdefn	f;	flist	fl;
}				

- 07.ths-codegen extends our treebuilder, adding semantic checking (eg. checking that we define every function we call) and then code generation translating THS to C!
- How do we do semantic checks? A semantic checker involves walking the AST and building convenient data structures. We create a hash and a set: the hash maps from functionname to AST function definition (for every defined function); the set names all called functions. Then we check that every called function is defined, exactly once.
- How do we do code generation? A code generator is just another ASTwalker, one with suitable print statements!
- In fact, using datadec's print hints mechanism, 80% of the C code generation was done by making each AST type print itself in valid C form. The remaining 20% was custom C code, mainly printing boilerplate and then invoking datadec-generated print_TYPE() functions.

C Programming Tools: Part 4

Duncan White & Evangelos Ververas (Imperial)

15th June 2017 13 / 15

Summary Everyone needs their toolkit!

- When necessary and practical, build tools yourself to solve problems that irritate you. Don't be afraid!
- Tools may save you much more time than they cost you to make.
- Other possible tools I didn't mention: regular expression libraries; all the things you can do with function pointers; text processing tools; OO programming in C etc etc.
- Most importantly: enjoy your C programming! Build your toolkit - and let me know if you write any particularly cool tools!
- Scripting languages like Perl, Ruby or Python are fantastic timesavers. I used to run a Perl course until it got cancelled, notes available at:

http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dcw/perl2014/

- Finally, I've also written an occasional series of Practical Software Development articles, see: http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dcw/PSD/
- That's all folks!

- We're now using so many tools to build our code, let's see what percentage of the source code we're writing manually.
- In 07.ths-codegen, we have only written about 900 lines of code ourselves.
- However, after datadec, macro, Yacc and Lex have run, there are approximately 5400 lines of C code (including headers) overall.
- 900/5400 is about 16%.
- To put that another way: our tools wrote 84% of the code for us.

Ok, let's sum up what we've been trying to say in these lectures:

- Follow 100,000 years of human history by tool-using and tool-making.
- Are we Homo sapiens or Homo faber, man the toolmaker?
- Build yourself a powerful toolkit.
- Choose tools you like; become expert in each.

ncan White & Evangelos Ververas (Imp

C Programming Tools: Part 4

15th June 2017 14 / 15