

## Tutorial Exercises 2 (mjs)

### (Maxi-consistent sets)

1. From 2002 exam S4 is the normal modal logic *KT4*. Prove that if  $\{\Box A_1, \dots, \Box A_n, \neg \Box B\}$  is S4-consistent then so is  $\{\Box A_1, \dots, \Box A_n, \neg B\}$ .

(This is not a question about maxi-consistent sets.)

2. This is not so much an ‘exercise’ as a few small observations about the properties of maxi-consistent sets.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a S4-maxi-consistent set. (S4 is the normal modal logic *KT4*.)  $p$  and  $q$  are atoms.

- (i) Suppose  $p \in \Gamma$ . Does that imply  $q \in \Gamma$ ?

(Obviously not. This is an example that shows therefore that maxi-consistent sets are not closed under uniform substitution US.)

Informally you can think of  $\Gamma$  as a ‘possible world’. If  $p$  holds in a possible world, does that imply  $q$  also holds in that possible world? Obviously not—but can you give a more careful formal explanation?

- (ii) Suppose  $p \in \Gamma$ . Does that imply  $\Box p \in \Gamma$ ?

(Obviously not. This is an example that shows therefore that maxi-consistent sets, even of normal logics, are not closed under the rule of necessitation RN.)

- (iii) Suppose  $p \in \Gamma$ . Does that imply  $\Diamond p \in \Gamma$ ?

( $\Gamma$  is a maxi-consistent set of S4=*KT4* remember.)

- (iv) Suppose  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow A \in \Gamma$ . Does that imply  $\Box A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Box A_n \rightarrow \Box A \in \Gamma$ ?

- (v) Suppose  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \rightarrow A \in \text{S4}$ . Does that imply  $\Box A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Box A_n \rightarrow \Box A \in \Gamma$ ?

3. (This is one of the unproved theorems in the notes.) Prove that:

- (a)  $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} A$  iff  $A \in \Delta$  for every  $\Sigma$ -maxi-consistent  $\Delta$  such that  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ .

- (b)  $\vdash_{\Sigma} A$  iff  $A \in \Delta$  for every  $\Sigma$ -maxi-consistent  $\Delta$ .

Hint: for the first one, one half is easy, the other half requires Lindenbaum’s lemma. The second follows more or less immediately as a special case of the first.

4. (The following result is useful when we define canonical models for normal systems.)

Prove that for any  $\Sigma$ -maxi-consistent sets  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$

$$\{A \mid \Box A \in \Gamma\} \subseteq \Gamma' \iff \{\Diamond A \mid A \in \Gamma'\} \subseteq \Gamma$$

or equivalently

$$\forall A [\Box A \in \Gamma \Rightarrow A \in \Gamma'] \iff \forall A [A \in \Gamma' \Rightarrow \Diamond A \in \Gamma]$$