

Tutorial Exercises 3 (mjs)

(Canonical models for normal systems)

1. A relation R is ‘serially reflexive’ when $w R w'$ implies $w' R w'$ for all w, w' . Show that the logic $K \cup \{\Box(\Box A \rightarrow A)\}$ is determined by the class of serially reflexive frames.
2. Suppose the logic Σ has box operators K_a and K_b and is interpreted on frames $\langle W, R_a, R_b \rangle$. Show that if

$$\vdash_{\Sigma} K_b p \rightarrow K_a \neg K_b \neg p$$

then the canonical frame $\langle W^{\Sigma}, R_a^{\Sigma}, R_b^{\Sigma} \rangle$ for Σ has the property that

$$w R_a^{\Sigma} w' \text{ and } w'' R_b^{\Sigma} w' \text{ implies } w R_b^{\Sigma} w''$$

for all w, w', w'' .

ERRATUM: There is a mistake in this question. Ignore it. Thanks to Anton Stefanek for pointing it out.

3. As in previous question, but show that

$$\vdash_{\Sigma} K_a(K_b A \rightarrow K_a K_b A)$$

implies the canonical frame has the property:

$$u R_a^{\Sigma} w \text{ and } w R_a^{\Sigma} w' \text{ and } w' R_b^{\Sigma} w'' \text{ implies } w R_b^{\Sigma} w''$$

for all u, w, w', w'' .

4. Prove that the normal modal logic $KT5$ is determined by the class of equivalence frames.
($KT5 = KT45 = KTB5 = KTB4$ is the logic S5.)
5. S5 is also determined by the class of universal frames. (A relation R is universal when $w R w'$ for all worlds w, w' .) Show however that the canonical relation for S5 is not universal.

Hint: consider either $\{p\}$ or $\{\Box p\}$ (p any atom), and observe that both these sets are obviously S5-consistent.

6. From the 2003 exam:

The system $S4.2$ is a normal modal logic of type $KT4G$, i.e., the smallest normal system containing the schemas T and 4 and the following schema:

$$G. \quad \Diamond \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$$

A relation R is said to be *strongly convergent* when, for all w, w' there exists a v such that $w R v$ and $w' R v$.

Using the canonical model, show that $S4.2=KT4G$ is complete with respect to the class of reflexive, transitive, strongly convergent Kripke models.

You may assume without proof that

$$\{A \mid \Box A \in \Gamma\} \cup \{A \mid \Box A \in \Gamma'\}$$

is $KT4G$ -consistent for any maximal $KT4G$ -consistent sets Γ and Γ' .

(But see the comment in the next question.)

7. *Harder* Actually, $\{A \mid \Box A \in \Gamma\} \cup \{A \mid \Box A \in \Gamma'\}$ in the previous question is not necessarily $KT4G$ -consistent. That doesn't affect the argument in the previous question — it was simplified to make a short exam question. In fact, $KT4G$ is determined by the class of reflexive, transitive frames which satisfy the property (‘incestual’ or ‘Church-Rosser’) that, for all u, w, w' such that $u R w$ and $u R w'$ there exists a v such that $w R v$ and $w' R v$.

Modify the argument in the previous question to show that this is so.