

Denotational Semantics of recursive types in Synthetic Guarded Domain Theory

Marco Paviotti

IT University of Copenhagen

July 6th, 2016

Joint work with Rasmus Ejlers Møgelberg

Guarded Type theory

- is a type theory with a time modality \blacktriangleright pronounced “later”

$$\text{fix} : (\blacktriangleright X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$$

$$\text{fix}(f) = f(\text{next}(\text{fix}(f)))$$

$$\text{next} : X \rightarrow \blacktriangleright X$$

Guarded Type theory

- is a type theory with a time modality \blacktriangleright pronounced “later”

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fix} &: (\blacktriangleright X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \\ \text{fix}(f) &= f(\text{next}(\text{fix}(f))) \\ \text{next} &: X \rightarrow \blacktriangleright X\end{aligned}$$

- can solve type equations as long as the \blacktriangleright modality guards the recursive variable

$$X \cong \blacktriangleright((N \rightarrow X) \rightarrow 2)$$

- It is an abstract form of [step-indexing](#)

Guarded Type theory

- is a type theory with a time modality \blacktriangleright pronounced “later”

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fix} &: (\blacktriangleright X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \\ \text{fix}(f) &= f(\text{next}(\text{fix}(f))) \\ \text{next} &: X \rightarrow \blacktriangleright X\end{aligned}$$

- can solve type equations as long as the \blacktriangleright modality guards the recursive variable

$$X \cong \blacktriangleright((N \rightarrow X) \rightarrow 2)$$

- It is an abstract form of [step-indexing](#)
- The topos of trees $\mathbf{Set}^{\omega^{\text{op}}}$ is a model for Guarded Type Theory

Guarded Type Theory

- Useful for checking *productivity*, e.g. [Guarded Streams](#)

$$\text{Str}_A^g \cong A \times \blacktriangleright \text{Str}_A^g$$

- *Proving properties* about guarded recursive definitions, Π , Σ
- Operational reasoning for languages with advanced features
 - $\mu\alpha.\tau, \text{ref } \sigma$, non-determinism, shared-memory concurrency
 - using an abstract form of step-indexing

Goal: denotational semantics in Guarded Type Theory

- Denotational semantics of recursion under the slogan

Recursion in Guarded Recursion

- Previous: Recursion on terms (PCF) [MFPS '15]
- This talk : Recursive Types (FPC)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \mu\alpha.\tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{unfold } M : \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fold } M : \mu\alpha.\tau}$$

- The development is entirely inside the type theory
 - Operational semantics, Denotational semantics and proof of adequacy

Denotational semantics in Guarded Type theory

Types as domains

- both **synthetic** and **type theoretic** approach
- Homotopy Theory to HoTT as Domain Theory to GdTT ¹
- more abstract representations and easier proofs

A small price to pay is **intentionality**

- the model counts steps
- we define a logical relation to prove extensional computational adequacy

¹Guarded dependent Type Theory with Coinductive Types, FoSSaCS, 2016

FPC in guarded type theory

Big-step operational semantics

- Big-step call-by-name operational semantics encoded as an inductive type of the form $M \Downarrow^k v$
- Such that

$$\text{unfold}(\text{fold}(M)) \Downarrow^k v \equiv \blacktriangleright (M \Downarrow^{k-1} v)$$

Denotational semantics

Lifting monad

$$LA =_{\text{def}} A + \blacktriangleright LA$$

- $\eta : A \rightarrow LA$
- $\theta : \blacktriangleright LA \rightarrow LA$
- $\delta = \Theta \circ \text{next} : LA \rightarrow LA$
- Satisfies $\perp = \delta(\perp)$ where $\perp = \text{fix}(\theta)$.

Related

Our is a guarded recursive variant of Capretta's Coinductive lifting monad

$$LA \cong A + LA$$

Denotational Semantics – Types

- Define

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau \rrbracket : U^{|\Theta|} \rightarrow U$$

- By

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \alpha \rrbracket(\rho) =_{\text{def}} \rho(\alpha)$$

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash 1 \rrbracket(\rho) =_{\text{def}} L1$$

...

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rrbracket(\rho) =_{\text{def}} L(\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau_1 \rrbracket(\rho) + \llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau_2 \rrbracket(\rho))$$

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket(\rho) =_{\text{def}} \blacktriangleright (\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau \rrbracket(\rho, \llbracket \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket(\rho)))$$

- **Theorem.** $\llbracket \Theta \vdash \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket(\rho) = \blacktriangleright (\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket(\rho))$
- $\Theta_\tau : \blacktriangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$
- $\delta_\tau : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ defined as $\delta_\tau = \Theta_\tau \circ \text{next}$

Denotational Semantics

- Interpretation of terms

$$\llbracket x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : B \rrbracket : \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

- Case of the folding and unfolding operations

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \text{next}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{unfold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \theta_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

Recall

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket(\rho) = \blacktriangleright (\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket(\rho))$$


Denotational Semantics

- Interpretation of terms

$$\llbracket x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : B \rrbracket : \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

- Case of the folding and unfolding operations

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \text{next}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{unfold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \theta_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$


Recall

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket(\rho) = \blacktriangleright (\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket(\rho))$$

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma) : \llbracket \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket$$

Denotational Semantics

- Interpretation of terms

$$\llbracket x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : B \rrbracket : \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$$


- Case of the folding and unfolding operations

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \text{next}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{unfold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \theta_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

Recall

$$\llbracket \Theta \vdash \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket(\rho) = \blacktriangleright (\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket(\rho))$$


$$\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma) : \llbracket \mu\alpha.\tau \rrbracket$$

Denotational Semantics

- Interpretation of terms

$$\llbracket x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : B \rrbracket : \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

- Case of the folding and unfolding operations

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \text{next}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{unfold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \theta_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

Denotational Semantics

- Interpretation of terms

$$\llbracket x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash t : B \rrbracket : \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

- Case of the folding and unfolding operations

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \text{next}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{unfold } M \rrbracket(\gamma) = \theta_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]}(\llbracket M \rrbracket(\gamma))$$

Note

Lemma. $\llbracket \text{unfold}(\text{fold } M) \rrbracket = \delta \llbracket M \rrbracket$

Computational adequacy

Adequacy

Theorem. If $\vdash M : \mathbf{nat}$ then $M \Downarrow^k v \iff \llbracket M \rrbracket = \delta^k(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$

Adequacy

Theorem. If $\vdash M : \mathbf{nat}$ then $M \Downarrow^k v \iff \llbracket M \rrbracket = \delta^k(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$

- If $M = \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w)$ and $w \neq v$ the following holds

$$\llbracket \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \rrbracket = \delta(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

Adequacy

Theorem. If $\vdash M : \mathbf{nat}$ then $M \Downarrow^k v \iff \llbracket M \rrbracket = \delta^k(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$

- If $M = \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w)$ and $w \neq v$ the following holds

$$\llbracket \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \rrbracket = \delta(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

- as it is logically equivalent to $\blacktriangleright (\llbracket w \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket)$

Adequacy

Theorem. If $\vdash M : \mathbf{nat}$ then $M \Downarrow^k v \iff \llbracket M \rrbracket = \delta^k(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$

- If $M = \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w)$ and $w \neq v$ the following holds

$$\llbracket \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \rrbracket = \delta(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

- as it is logically equivalent to $\blacktriangleright (\llbracket w \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket)$
- So also need

$$\mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \Downarrow^1 v$$

Adequacy

Theorem. If $\vdash M : \mathbf{nat}$ then $M \Downarrow^k v \iff \llbracket M \rrbracket = \delta^k(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$

- If $M = \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w)$ and $w \neq v$ the following holds

$$\llbracket \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \rrbracket = \delta(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

- as it is logically equivalent to $\blacktriangleright (\llbracket w \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket)$
- So also need

$$\mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \Downarrow^1 v$$

- we defined it as $\blacktriangleright (w \Downarrow^0 v)$

Adequacy

Theorem. If $\vdash M : \mathbf{nat}$ then $M \Downarrow^k v \iff \llbracket M \rrbracket = \delta^k(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$

- If $M = \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w)$ and $w \neq v$ the following holds

$$\llbracket \mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \rrbracket = \delta(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

- as it is logically equivalent to $\blacktriangleright (\llbracket w \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket)$
- So also need

$$\mathbf{unfold}(\mathbf{fold} w) \Downarrow^1 v$$

- we defined it as $\blacktriangleright (w \Downarrow^0 v)$

Proof by Logical Relation argument

Lemma. If $M : \sigma$ closed then $\llbracket M \rrbracket \mathcal{R}_\sigma M$

Logical relation

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \text{Term}_{\text{FPC}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

- Defined by guarded recursion and induction on the types

$$\eta(*) \mathcal{R}_1 M =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^0 \langle \rangle$$

$$\Theta_1(\alpha) \mathcal{R}_1 M =_{\text{def}} M \rightarrow_*^1 M' \text{ and } \alpha \blacktriangleright \mathcal{R}_1 \text{next}(M')$$

- For recursive types

$$\alpha \mathcal{R}_{\mu\alpha.\tau} M =_{\text{def}} \text{unfold } M \rightarrow_*^1 M' \text{ and } \alpha \blacktriangleright \mathcal{R}_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]} \text{next}(M')$$

- $x \blacktriangleright \mathcal{R}_\tau M = \blacktriangleright [y \leftarrow x, N \leftarrow M]. (y \mathcal{R}_\tau N)$

Extensional adequacy

Weak Bisimulation Logical relation

- Relation on denotable terms

$$\approx_{\tau}: \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow U$$

defined by guarded recursion and induction on the types

- Relates computations produce the same value or diverge

$$\eta(v) \approx_1 \eta(v') =_{\text{def}} v = v'$$

$$\eta(v) \approx_1 \beta =_{\text{def}} \sum n. \beta = (\delta_1)^n(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

$$\alpha \approx_1 \eta(v) =_{\text{def}} \sum n. \alpha = (\delta_1)^n(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

$$\Theta_1(\alpha') \approx_1 \Theta_1(\beta') =_{\text{def}} \alpha' \blacktriangleright \approx_1 \beta'$$

...

$$x \approx_{\mu\alpha.\tau} y =_{\text{def}} x \blacktriangleright \approx_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]} y$$

Recovering the global behaviour

- Idea originally due to Atkey and McBride
- We reformulate the interpretation s.t.

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \cong 1 + \llbracket 1 \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} A$$

- Lift \approx_{σ} to

$$\approx_{\sigma}^{\text{gl}}: \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow U$$

- Interpretation of terms $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} : (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^{\text{gl}})$$

Extensional Computational Adequacy

Theorem.

- If $\Gamma \vdash M, N : \tau$, and
- $\llbracket M \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \approx_{\Gamma, \tau}^{\text{gl}} \llbracket N \rrbracket^{\text{gl}}$, and
- $C[-] : (\Gamma, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{nat}$, then

$$\prod n. (\sum k. C[M] \Downarrow^k n) \iff (\sum l. C[N] \Downarrow^l n)$$

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Denotational semantics of Recursive Types using GdTT
- Simpler and more abstract
- The price to pay is intensionality
- Extensional adequacy using co-inductive logical relation

Main message

Guarded type theory is a natural setting for denotational semantics.

Future work

- General References, Co-inductive Types and Effects

Conclusions

- Denotational semantics of Recursive Types using GdTT
- Simpler and more abstract
- The price to pay is intensionality
- Extensional adequacy using co-inductive logical relation

Main message

Guarded type theory is a natural setting for denotational semantics.

Future work

- General References, Co-inductive Types and Effects

Thanks!

Big step operational semantics

$$v \Downarrow^0 Q =_{\text{def}} Q(v)$$

$$\text{pred } M \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k (\lambda x. \Sigma n : \mathbb{N}. x = \underline{n} \text{ and } Q(\underline{n-1}))$$

$$\text{succ } M \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k (\lambda x. \Sigma n : \mathbb{N}. x = \underline{n} \text{ and } Q(\underline{n+1}))$$

$$Y_\sigma M \Downarrow^{k+1} Q =_{\text{def}} \blacktriangleright (M(Y_\sigma M) \Downarrow^k Q)$$

$$MN \Downarrow^{k+m} Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\lambda x. L) = L[N/x] \Downarrow^m Q$$

$$\text{ifz } L M N \Downarrow^{k+m} Q =_{\text{def}} L \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\underline{0}) = M \Downarrow^m Q \text{ and } Q'(\underline{n+1}) = N \Downarrow^m Q$$

Big step operational semantics

$$v \Downarrow^0 Q =_{\text{def}} Q(v)$$

$$\text{pred } M \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k (\lambda x. \Sigma n : \mathbb{N}. x = \underline{n} \text{ and } Q(\underline{n-1}))$$

$$\text{succ } M \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k (\lambda x. \Sigma n : \mathbb{N}. x = \underline{n} \text{ and } Q(\underline{n+1}))$$

$$Y_\sigma M \Downarrow^{k+1} Q =_{\text{def}} \blacktriangleright (M(Y_\sigma M) \Downarrow^k Q)$$

$$MN \Downarrow^{k+m} Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\lambda x. L) = L[N/x] \Downarrow^m Q$$

Synchronising with the
type theory

$$\text{ifz } L M N \Downarrow^{k+m} Q =_{\text{def}} L \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(0) = M \Downarrow^m Q \text{ and } Q'(\underline{n+1}) = N \Downarrow^m Q$$

Big-step operational semantics

$$v \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} Q(v, k)$$

$$\text{case } L \text{ of } \text{inl } x_1.M; \text{inr } x_2.N \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} L \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\text{inl } L, l) =_{\text{def}} M[L/x_1] \Downarrow^l Q$$

$$Q'(\text{inr } L, l) =_{\text{def}} N[L/x_2] \Downarrow^l Q$$

$$\text{fst } L \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} L \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\langle M, N \rangle, m) =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^m Q$$

$$\text{snd } L \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} L \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\langle M, N \rangle, m) =_{\text{def}} N \Downarrow^m Q$$

$$MN \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\lambda x.L, m) =_{\text{def}} L[N/x] \Downarrow^m Q$$

$$\text{unfold } M \Downarrow^k Q =_{\text{def}} M \Downarrow^k Q'$$

$$\text{where } Q'(\text{fold } N, m + 1) =_{\text{def}} \blacktriangleright (N \Downarrow^m Q)$$

Weak Bisimulation Logical relation

$$\eta(v) \approx_1 \eta(v') =_{\text{def}} v = v'$$

$$\eta(v) \approx_1 \beta =_{\text{def}} \Sigma n. \beta = (\delta_1)^n(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

$$\alpha \approx_1 \eta(v) =_{\text{def}} \Sigma n. \alpha = (\delta_1)^n(\llbracket v \rrbracket)$$

$$\Theta_1(\alpha') \approx_1 \Theta_1(\beta') =_{\text{def}} \alpha' \blacktriangleright \approx_1 \beta'$$

...

$$x \approx_{\mu\alpha.\tau} y =_{\text{def}} x \blacktriangleright \approx_{\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha]} y$$

Construction of fixed points

- Given $f : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \{*\} & \longleftarrow & X(1) & \xleftarrow{r_1} & X(2) & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \downarrow & & f_3 \downarrow & & \\ X(1) & \xleftarrow{r_1} & X(2) & \xleftarrow{r_2} & X(3) & \longleftarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

- Construct $\text{fix}_X(f) : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{1} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{1} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{1} & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \circ f_1 \downarrow & & f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \downarrow & & \\ X(1) & \xleftarrow{r_1} & X(2) & \xleftarrow{r_2} & X(3) & \longleftarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

- Fixed points are unique

Construction of fixed points

- Given $f : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \{*\} & \longleftarrow & X(1) & \xleftarrow{r_1} & X(2) & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \downarrow & & f_3 \downarrow & & \\ X(1) & \xleftarrow{r_1} & X(2) & \xleftarrow{r_2} & X(3) & \longleftarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

- Construct $\text{fix}_X(f) : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{1} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{1} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{1} & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \circ f_1 \downarrow & & f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1 \downarrow & & \\ X(1) & \xleftarrow{r_1} & X(2) & \xleftarrow{r_2} & X(3) & \longleftarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

- Fixed points are unique

Guarded recursive types as fixed points

Universe closed under \blacktriangleright

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \blacktriangleright U}{\Gamma \vdash \triangleright A : U}$$

$$\text{El}(\triangleright(\text{next}(A))) = \blacktriangleright \text{El}(A)$$

Guarded recursive types as fixed points

Universe closed under \blacktriangleright

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \blacktriangleright U}{\Gamma \vdash \triangleright A : U}$$

$$\text{El}(\triangleright(\text{next}(A))) = \blacktriangleright \text{El}(A)$$

Guarded Streams

- Type of streams as fixed point for universe map

$$\text{S}(\text{int}) = \text{fix}(\lambda X : \blacktriangleright U. \mathbb{Z} \times \triangleright X)$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{El}(\text{S}(\text{int})) &= \text{El}(\mathbb{Z} \times \triangleright(\text{next}(\text{S}(\text{int})))) \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \times \blacktriangleright \text{El}(\text{S}(\text{int}))\end{aligned}$$

Guarded dependent type theory (gDTT)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\tau &: \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow U \\ \text{next}(\mathcal{R}_\tau) &: \blacktriangleright(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow U) \\ \text{next}(\mathcal{R}_\tau) \circledast (-) \circledast (-) &: \blacktriangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \blacktriangleright \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow \blacktriangleright U\end{aligned}$$

- Define (using $\triangleright : \blacktriangleright U \rightarrow U$)

$$\begin{aligned}\blacktriangleright \mathcal{R}_\tau &=_{\text{def}} \triangleright \circ (\text{next}(\mathcal{R}_\tau) \circledast (-) \circledast (-)) \\ &: \blacktriangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \blacktriangleright \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow U\end{aligned}$$

Guarded dependent type theory (gDTT)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\tau &: \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow U \\ \text{next}(\mathcal{R}_\tau) &: \blacktriangleright(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow U) \\ \text{next}(\mathcal{R}_\tau) \otimes (-) \otimes (-) &: \blacktriangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \blacktriangleright \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow \blacktriangleright U\end{aligned}$$

- Define (using $\triangleright : \blacktriangleright U \rightarrow U$)

$$\begin{aligned}\blacktriangleright \mathcal{R}_\tau &=_{\text{def}} \triangleright \circ (\text{next}(\mathcal{R}_\tau) \otimes (-) \otimes (-)) \\ &: \blacktriangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \blacktriangleright \mathbf{Term}_{\text{PCF}} \rightarrow U\end{aligned}$$

- Special syntax

$$x \blacktriangleright \mathcal{R}_\tau M = \blacktriangleright [y \leftarrow x, N \leftarrow M]. (y \mathcal{R}_\tau N)$$

Syntax: multiple clocks

- Idea originally due to Atkey and McBride
- Clock variable context $\Delta = \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta} A : \text{Type} \quad \vdash_{\Delta} \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta} \blacktriangleright^{\kappa} A : \text{Type}}$$
$$\text{fix}^{\kappa} : (\blacktriangleright^{\kappa} X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$$

- etc

Universal quantification over clocks

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta, \kappa} A : \text{Type} \quad \kappa \notin \text{fc}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta} \forall \kappa. A : \text{Type}}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta, \kappa} t : A \quad \kappa \notin \text{fc}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta} \bigwedge \kappa. t : \forall \kappa. A}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta} t : \forall \kappa. A \quad \vdash_{\Delta} \kappa'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Delta} t[\kappa'] : A[\kappa'/\kappa]}$$

- Allows controlled elimination of \blacktriangleright

$$\text{force} : \forall \kappa. \blacktriangleright^{\kappa} A \rightarrow \forall \kappa. A$$

- Clock quantification is right adjoint to clock weakening

Recovering the global behaviour

- Idea originally due to Atkey and McBride
- We reformulate the interpretation
- $L^{\text{gl}}1 = \forall \kappa. L1$ so

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \cong 1 + \llbracket 1 \rrbracket^{\text{gl}}$$

- Lift \approx

$$\approx_{\sigma}^{\text{gl}}: \forall \kappa. \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rightarrow \forall \kappa. \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rightarrow U$$

$$x \approx_{\sigma}^{\text{gl}} y = \forall \kappa. x[\kappa] \approx_{\sigma} y[\kappa]$$

- Interpretation of terms $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} : (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^{\text{gl}})$$

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket^{\text{gl}} = \Lambda \kappa. \llbracket M \rrbracket$$

- Can prove

if $\delta^{\text{gl}}(x) \approx_1^{\text{gl}} \delta^{\text{gl}}(y)$ then $\forall \kappa. \blacktriangleright^{\kappa} (x[\kappa] \approx_{\sigma} y[\kappa])$ then $x \approx_1^{\text{gl}} y$