

Inductive Programming  
Lecture 1  
End-User Programming by Induction

Stephen Muggleton  
University of Nanjing and  
Emeritus Professor Imperial College London

9th October, 2025

## Overview of Inductive Programming

Each **Lecture** immediately followed by **Tutorial**.

<b>Lecture 1</b>	09-10-25	End-user Programming by Induction
<b>Lecture 2</b>	14-10-25	Domain-specific languages and Background Knowledge
<b>Lecture 3</b>	16-10-25	One-shot induction and Bias reformulation
<b>Lecture 4</b>	21-10-25	Inducing an Algorithm from One Example
<b>Lecture 5</b>	23-10-25	Induction of Efficient Programs
<b>Lecture 6</b>	27-10-25	Comprehensibility
<b>Lecture 7</b>	28-10-25	Data wrangling
<b>Lecture 8</b>	30-10-25	Game Strategy Induction

## Lecture material

Lecture material:

<http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~shm/IP/Lecture1.pdf>

<http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~shm/IP/Lecture2.pdf>

...

## Presentation of IP course

- Research papers provided for each lecture in place of lecture notes
- Tutorial sheets provided with model answers

## Paper for this lecture

**Paper1.1:** S. Gulwani, J. Hernandez-Orallo, E. Kitzelmann, S.H. Muggleton, U. Schmid, and B. Zorn. Inductive programming meets the real world. Communications of the ACM, 58(11):90-99, 2015.

## Motivation - End-User Programming

- Much of world population use computers for everyday tasks
- Most end-users cannot program
- Often perform repetitive tasks manually
- Programming by example - Inductive Programming - Mass Market? - Microsoft Excel 2013- release of FlashFill
- Small but complex programs induced from few examples

## FlashFill (Excel 2013, Gulwani, ACM Milner award 2014)

	A	B
1	Email	Column 2
2	Nancy.FreeHafer@fourthcoffee.com	nancy freehafer
3	Andrew.Cencici@northwindtraders.com	andrew cencici
4	Jan.Kotas@litwareinc.com	jan kotas
5	Mariya.Sergienko@gradicdesigninstitute.com	mariya sergienko
6	Steven.Thorpe@northwindtraders.com	steven thorpe
7	Michael.Neipper@northwindtraders.com	michael neipper
8	Robert.Zare@northwindtraders.com	robert zare
9	Laura.Giussani@adventure-works.com	laura giussani
10	Anne.HL@northwindtraders.com	anne hl
11	Alexander.David@contoso.com	alexander david
12	Kim.Shane@northwindtraders.com	kim shane
13	Manish.Chopra@northwindtraders.com	manish chopra
14	Gerwald.Oberleitner@northwindtraders.com	gerwald oberleitner
15	Amr.Zaki@northwindtraders.com	amr zaki
16	Yvonne.McKay@northwindtraders.com	yvonne mckay
17	Amanda.Pinto@northwindtraders.com	amanda pinto

### Induced string transformation program

*Concatenate(ToLower(SubString(v, WordToken, 1)), “ ”,*  
*ToLower(SubString(v, WordToken, 2)))*

## End-User Programming - FlashExtract

<p>Ana Trujillo 357 21th Place SE Redmond, WA (757) 555-1634</p> <p>Antonio Moreno 515 93th Lane Renton, WA (411) 555-2786</p> <p>Thomas Hardy 742 17th Street NE Seattle, WA (412) 555-5719</p> <p>Christina Berglund 475 22th Lane Redmond, WA (443) 555-6774</p> <p>Hanna Moos 785 45th Street NE Puyallup, WA (376) 555-2462</p> <p>Frederique Citeaux 308 66th Place Redmond, WA (689) 555-2770</p>	<table><tr><th>Label 1</th><th>Label 2</th><th>Label 3</th></tr><tr><td>Ana Trujillo</td><td>Redmond</td><td>(757) 555-1634</td></tr><tr><td>Antonio Moreno</td><td>Renton</td><td>(411) 555-2786</td></tr><tr><td>Thomas Hardy</td><td>Seattle</td><td>(412) 555-5719</td></tr><tr><td>Christina Berglund</td><td>Redmond</td><td>(443) 555-6774</td></tr><tr><td>Hanna Moos</td><td>Puyallup</td><td>(376) 555-2462</td></tr><tr><td>Frederique Citeaux</td><td>Redmond</td><td>(689) 555-2770</td></tr></table>	Label 1	Label 2	Label 3	Ana Trujillo	Redmond	(757) 555-1634	Antonio Moreno	Renton	(411) 555-2786	Thomas Hardy	Seattle	(412) 555-5719	Christina Berglund	Redmond	(443) 555-6774	Hanna Moos	Puyallup	(376) 555-2462	Frederique Citeaux	Redmond	(689) 555-2770
Label 1	Label 2	Label 3																				
Ana Trujillo	Redmond	(757) 555-1634																				
Antonio Moreno	Renton	(411) 555-2786																				
Thomas Hardy	Seattle	(412) 555-5719																				
Christina Berglund	Redmond	(443) 555-6774																				
Hanna Moos	Puyallup	(376) 555-2462																				
Frederique Citeaux	Redmond	(689) 555-2770																				
User Highlights	Induced program extracts fields from Database of unstructured text																					



## Inductive Programming

- Earliest work in 1970s (Plotkin, 1971, Summers, 1975)
- Recent strong revival of interest, both academia and industry
- Inter-disciplinary research area
- Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science
- Automatic synthesis of programs from examples
- Inductive Functional Programming
- Inductive Logic Programming

## Inductive Functional Programming

- Induction from Examples of Functional Programming
- Functional Programming Framework, deterministic
- Background Knowledge B - set of functions
- Examples E - set of ground equalities, eg  $\text{factorial}(5) = 120$
- Hypothesis H - a function

## Inductive Logic Programming

- Induction from Examples of Logic Programming
- Logic Programming Framework, non-deterministic
- Background Knowledge  $B$  - set of definite clause definitions
- Examples  $E$  - set of ground facts, eg larger(jupiter,earth)
- Hypothesis  $H$  - set of definite clauses
- ILP systems find  $H$  such that  $B, H \models E$

## IP versus Machine Learning

	Inductive Programming	Machine Learning
<b>Examples</b>	Small data	Big data
<b>Form</b>	Relations, constructors	Tables, text
<b>Source</b>	Humans, software	Databases, internet
<b>Hypotheses</b>	Programs	Network, kernel
<b>Search</b>	Derivation	Gradient Descent
<b>Comprehend</b>	High	Low
<b>Expressivity</b>	High	Low
<b>Bias</b>	Background knowledge	Bayes' Prior
<b>Evaluation</b>	Diverse	Error

**Inductive Programming Techniques (1)**  
**Domain-Specific Language (DSL) synthesisers**  
**Formal Methods/Computer Science**

**Systems:** FlashFill, FlashExtract

1. **Problem definition.** Collect common scenarios based on user studies.
2. **DSL.** Design DSL expressive enough to capture scenarios.
3. **Inductive Synthesis.** Systematically reduce problem to sub-expressions. Generate multiple DSL programs.
4. **Ranking.** Return ranking over programs.

## Inductive Programming Techniques (2)

### Higher-order function induction

### Programming Languages/Computer Science

Systems: Igor2, MagicHaskeller

- **Background knowledge.** Consists of first-order functions, such as “+” and higher-order function such as “map”.
- **Examples.** Provided as equations, eg  $f \ [ \ [ \ 5 \ , \ 7 \ ] \ , \ [ \ 12 \ , \ 3 \ ] \ ] = [ \ 12 \ , \ 15 \ ]$ .
- **Inductive Synthesis.** Searches function space, eg MagicHaskeller gives  $f = (\text{map}, \text{sum})$ .

MagicHaskeller demo:

<http://nautilus.cs.miyazaki-u.ac.jp/~skata/MagicHaskeller.html>

# Inductive Programming Techniques (3)

## Meta-Interpretive Learning

### Artificial Intelligence

Systems: Metagol

- **Background knowledge.** Consists of first-order predicates, such as “copyword” and meta-level predicates such as “while” and MetaRules such as “Composition”.
- **Examples.** Provided as ground facts, eg `transform(“john”, “John”)` .
- **Inductive Synthesis.** Searches predicate space and invents predicates, eg Metagol gives `transform(X,Y) ← makeupper(X,Z), copyword(Z,Y)`.

Metagol demo: <http://metagol.doc.ic.ac.uk>

Metagol code: <https://github.com/metagol/metagol>

## Challenges: Complexity and Compositionality

- **Large search space.** How do we reduce the size of the search space?
- **Complexity of programs.** How do we minimise the complexity of the learned program?
- **Complex tasks.** How do we decompose tasks to be learned into subtasks?



## Challenges:

### Domain change

- **New domain.** Developing a new application area for Inductive Programming requires a large investment of time and effort.
- **Transfer.** Can we use ideas from Transfer Learning to allow IP systems to be re-used in a new domain related to previous ones?
- **First-order re-use.** How can background functions and predicates be re-used effectively?
- **Meta-level re-use.** How can meta-level functions and predicates be re-used effectively?

## Challenges: Validation and Comprehensibility

- **Understandability.** Many invented predicates. Generate names to reflect semantics?
- **Abstractions.** Abstractions to explain programs?
- **Confidence measures.** Statistical measures to indicate areas of the program which have high empirical support?
- **Pictures.** Pictures generated to indicate what a program does?
- **Explanations.** Explanations of a program in Natural Language to help user to understand it?

## Challenges: Noise tolerance

- **Noise.** Real world data often noisy. Values missing or incorrect.
- **Representation.** Some values might occur in different formats, eg dates and numbers.
- **Background errors.** Background knowledge may contain errors.
- **ML approach.** Some existing approaches can be imported from ML literature.
- **One-shot noise.** ML does not address how noise treated for one-shot learning. Problem for IP.

## Challenges: Making IP Cognitive

- **Human interface.** IP involves interaction with human beings.
- **Few examples.** Cognitive Science shows humans learn complex ideas from small numbers of positive examples.
- **Background knowledge.** Humans learn using large amounts of background knowledge.
- **Life-Long Learning.** Humans learn continuously and incrementally.
- **Interaction.** Human-Computer interactions need to be more human-like.

## Summary

- End-user programming - allow world's population to program complex tasks by example.
- Inductive Programming (IP) - emerging inter-disciplinary research area.
- ILP and IFP - IP areas representing examples/background/hypotheses as logic/ functional programs.
- Differences between IP and Machine Learning.
- Search techniques include DSL, Meta-synthesis, constraint solving, Meta-Interpretive Learning.
- Challenges - Domain change, Validation, Noise, Cognitive IP.